arXiv:1612.07972v2 [math.FA] 29 Dec 2016

# A GLEASON SOLUTION MODEL FOR ROW CONTRACTIONS

R.T.W. MARTIN

Abstract. In the deBranges-Rovnyak functional model for contractions on Hilbert space, any completely non-coisometric (c.n.c.) contraction is represented as the adjoint of the restriction of the backward shift to a deBranges-Rovnyak space $\mathscr{H}(b)$ associated to a contractive analytic operator-valued function, $b$, on the open unit disk.

We extend this model to a suitable class of row contractions of several copies of a Hilbert space into itself. Namely, we show that any c.n.c. row partial isometry is unitarily equivalent to a Gleason solution for a vector-valued deBranges-Rovnyak space in the unit ball of $d$-dimensional complex space, and we extend this to a large class of row contractive extensions of such partial isometries in the case where the characteristic function of the row partial isometry is a quasi-extreme element of the multi-variable Schur class. Here, a Gleason solution is the appropriate several-variable analogue of the adjoint of the restricted backward shift.

*Key words and phrases*: Drury-Arveson space, deBranges-Rovnyak spaces, deBranges-Rovnyak model, row contractions, completely non-coisometric, Frostman shift.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification* —47B32 Operators on reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces; 46E22 Hilbert spaces with reproducing kernels; 47L80 Algebras of specific types of operators; 46J15 Banach algebras of differentiable or analytic functions

## 1. Introduction

The deBranges-Rovnyak and Sz.-Nagy-Foiaş functional models are two widely used and effective approaches to the representation theory of contractions on Hilbert space [1, 2, 3]. These two constructions provide equivalent models for completely non-unitary (c.n.u.) contractions [4, 5, 6, 7]. In this paper we focus on the deBranges-Rovnyak model and its several-variable extension to the setting of row contractions from several copies of a Hilbert space into itself.

In the full deBranges-Rovnyak model for a c.n.u. contraction on a Hilbert space, the model operator acts on a two-component reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS), $\mathcal{H}(\widetilde{k}^b)$, associated to an operator-valued contractive analytic function $b$ on the open unit disk, $\mathbb{D}$, in the complex plane [4, 7]. The set of all such functions is called the (operator-valued) Schur class. Here the reproducing

The author acknowledges support of NRF CPRR Grant 90551.

kernel $\widetilde{k}^b$ is given by

$$\widetilde{k}^b(z,w) := \begin{bmatrix} k^b(z,w) & \frac{b(z)-b(w)}{z-w} \\ \frac{b(z)^*-b(w)^*}{z^*-w^*} & \frac{I-b(z)^*b(w)}{1-z^*w} \end{bmatrix},$$

where the positive sesqui-analytic deBranges-Rovnyak kernel $k^b$ is

$$k^b(z,w) := \frac{I-b(z)b(w)^*}{1-zw^*}.$$

In the above $z^* := \overline{z}$ denotes complex conjugation. The deBranges-Rovnyak space $\mathscr{H}(b) := \mathcal{H}(k^b)$ is the (unique) reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS) of analytic functions associated to the positive kernel function $k^b$, and in the case where $b \equiv 0$ we recover the Szegö kernel for the classical (vector-valued) Hardy space of analytic functions in the unit disk.

In the case where $T$ is a completely non-coisometric (c.n.c.) contraction, there is a contractive (operator-valued) analytic function, $b_T$, on the unit disk, $b_T(z) \in \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{H})$, so that $T$ is unitarily equivalent to $X$ where $X^* := S^*|_{\mathscr{H}(b_T)}$ is the restriction of the backward shift of the (vector-valued) Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{H}$ to the deBranges-Rovnyak space $\mathscr{H}(b_T)$ [7, 4]. Any deBranges-Rovnyak space $\mathscr{H}(b)$ associated to a contractive (operator-valued) analytic function, $b$, on the disk is always contained contractively in the (vector-valued) Hardy space and $\mathscr{H}(b)$ is always co-invariant for the shift. This provides a natural model for this class of contractions as adjoints of restrictions of the backward shift to deBranges-Rovnyak reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, and this is the model we extend to several variables in this paper.

Previous work on functional models for row contractions include [8, 9, 10, 7, 11, 12]. The theory of Popescu [8, 9] for c.n.c. row contractions constructs a Sz.-Nagy-Foiaş-type model by studying the structure of the space of the minimal row isometric dilation of the row contraction, and defines a 'characteristic function' which is a complete unitary invariant. This theory is extended to arbitrary c.n.u. row contractions by Ball-Vinnikov in [10] and is applied to commutative row contractions by Ball and Bolotnikov in [7]. This characteristic function can be identified with an element of the non-commutative or free (operator-valued) Schur class. Recall here that the non-commutative or free Toeplitz algebra is the weak-operator topology (WOT)-closed unital operator algebra generated by the free shift (the row-isometry of left creation operators) on the full Fock space over $\mathbb{C}^d$ and the free (operator-valued) Schur class is the closed unit ball of the space of intertwining operators (free multipliers) for the free Toeplitz algebras of vector-valued Fock spaces.

The papers [11, 12] of Bhattacharyya-Eschmeier-Sarkar extend the classical Sz.-Nagy-Foiaş model and the definition of the Sz.-Nagy-Foiaş characteristic function to commuting c.n.c. row contractions (row contractions with mutually commuting component operators). In this theory the characteristic function is an element of the (operator-valued, several-variable) Schur class. Here, the (operator-valued, several-variable) Schur class is the closed unit ball of the intertwiners for the commutative

several-variable Toeplitz algebras on vector-valued Drury-Arveson spaces, and the Toeplitz algebra is the WOT-closed unital operator algebra generated by the Arveson $d$-shift, the natural analogue of the shift in several variables. Further recall that Drury-Arveson space is the canonical several-variable analogue of the Hardy space of the disk, it is the unique RKHS on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^d := (\mathbb{C}^d)_1$ corresponding to the several-variable Szegö kernel. Drury-Arveson space is naturally identified with symmetric Fock space, a subspace which is co-invariant for the free shift, and under this identification, the Arveson shift is the compression of the free shift to symmetric Fock space. Elements of the free Schur class can be obtained from Schur class multipliers by commutant lifting.

In comparison, our results construct a Schur class characteristic function and a functional model for a large class of not necessarily commutative c.n.c. row contractions as Gleason solutions acting on (several-variable) deBranges-Rovnyak spaces contractively contained in vector-valued Drury-Arveson space. The class of c.n.c. row contractions we consider are either row partial isometries or certain contractive extensions of c.n.c. row partial isometries whose Schur class characteristic function is quasi-extreme. The notion of a quasi-extreme multiplier of Drury-Arveson space is a concept introduced in [13] (see [14] for the operator-valued version), the key idea being that it is the generalization of a property that is equivalent to being an extreme point in the single-variable, scalar-valued setting [15]. We show that any c.n.c. row partial isometry is unitarily equivalent to an extremal Gleason solution in a several-variable deBranges-Rovnyak space. Here, recall that a Gleason solution in a deBranges-Rovnyak space is the appropriate several-variable analogue of the restricted backward shift, we review the definitions and details in Subsection 1.5. The characteristic function of this row partial isometry is a unitary invariant, but it is not complete (Example 3.10), in contrast to the results of [9, 12] for commutative row contractions. The characteristic function of the c.n.c. row partial isometry is a complete unitary invariant if and only if it is quasi-extreme (q.e.), and in this case we extend our Gleason solution model to certain row contractive extensions. In particular, Theorem 7.9 completely characterizes the class of row contractions which are unitarily equivalent to the (unique) Gleason solution in a (several-variable) vector-valued deBranges-Rovnyak space associated to a (square) quasi-extreme Schur class function $b$ when $b(0)$ is a strict contraction.

1.1. **Outline.** After some initial setup, we will begin by extending the deBranges-Rovnyak model for c.n.c. partial isometries to the class of c.n.c. or *Gleason* row partial isometries. We will characterize c.n.c. row partial isometries by generalizing a characterization of c.n.c. partial isometries ultimately due to Kreĭn, see Proposition 2.1.

Given a c.n.c. row partial isometry $X : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathcal{H}$, Section 3 introduces a *model map*, $\Gamma : \mathbb{B}^d \to \text{Ł}(\mathcal{J}_0)$, where the auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{J}_0$ obeys $\dim(\mathcal{J}_0) = \dim\left(\text{Ran}\,(X)^\perp\right)$. This is an anti-analytic operator-valued function with the property that $\Gamma(z) : \mathcal{J}_0 \to \text{Ran}\,((X - z)X^*X)^\perp$ is a bijection for any $z \in \mathbb{B}^d$. The function $\hat{K}(z, w) : \mathbb{B}^d \times \mathbb{B}^d \to \text{Ł}(\mathcal{J}_0)$,

$$\hat{K}(z, w) := \Gamma(z)^*\Gamma(w),$$

is a positive kernel function on $\mathbb{B}^d$, and this readily yields a unitarily equivalent model $\hat{X}$ for $X$ on the associated reproducing kernel Hilbert space of $\mathcal{J}_0$-valued analytic functions $\hat{\mathcal{H}} := \mathcal{H}(\hat{K})$. This approach to the representation theory of row partial isometries is a several-variable generalization of the model map approach to representation theory of partial isometries (equivalently unbounded symmetric linear transformations) as developed in [16, 17, 18]. The model map can be applied to construct a rectangular Schur-class function $b_X$, *i.e.* an element of the closed unit ball $\mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{J}_\infty, \mathcal{J}_0) := [\text{Mult}(H_d^2 \otimes \mathcal{J}_\infty, H_d^2 \otimes \mathcal{J}_0))]_1$. Here $\mathcal{J}_\infty$ is another auxiliary Hilbert space of the same dimension as $\text{Ker}(X)$, $H_d^2$ denotes the Drury-Arveson space of analytic functions in the $d-$dimensional unit ball corresponding to the several-variable Szegö kernel, and $\text{Mult}(H_d^2 \otimes \mathcal{J}_\infty, H_d^2 \otimes \mathcal{J}_0)$ is the space of bounded multipliers between the vector-valued Drury-Arveson spaces (see the next subsection). This *characteristic function*, $b_X$, of $X$, is a unitary invariant for the class of c.n.c. row partial isometries, and there is an isometric onto multiplier from the deBranges-Rovnyak space $\mathscr{H}(b_X)$ onto the model space $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}(\hat{K})$ so that the unitarily equivalent image $Z$ of $X$ in $\mathscr{H}(b_X)$ is an extremal contractive Gleason solution for $\mathscr{H}(b_X)$, see Theorem 3.3 and Remark 3.9. Recall here that a Gleason solution in a deBranges-Rovnyak space $\mathscr{H}(b)$ is the appropriate analogue of the adjoint of the restricted backward shift in the several-variable setting, see Section 1.5 for definitions and details. Moreover, Corollary 3.8 shows that any extremal Gleason solution $Z$ acts as multiplication by the independent variables $z_1, z_2, ..., z_d$ on its intial space in $\mathscr{H}(b)$. Namely, if $\mathbf{F} \in \text{Ker}(Z)^\perp \subset \mathscr{H}(b) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ then

$$Z\mathbf{F}(z) = Z \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ \vdots \\ F_d \end{bmatrix} (z) = z_1 F_1(z) + ... + z_d F_d(z).$$

The characteristic function, $b_X$, of a c.n.c. row partial isometry $X$ is equivalent to the Sz.-Nagy-Foiaş characteristic function of $X$ as defined in [11] (for the case of c.n.c. row contractions with commuting component operators).

Given a Schur class $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$, contractive Gleason solutions $X$ for $\mathscr{H}(b)$ always exist, but they are generally not unique [7]. To obtain a more refined model, we focus on the sub-class of c.n.c. row partial isometries whose characteristic functions have the *quasi-extreme* or Szegö approximation property in the sense of [14], see Section 4. If $b$ is quasi-extreme, the corresponding deBranges-Rovnyak space $\mathscr{H}(b)$ has a unique contractive extremal Gleason solution $X^b$. The characteristic function is a complete unitary invariant for the class of c.n.c. row partial isometries $X$ with q.e. characteristic function $b_X$ (Corollary 5.2) and we characterize when a c.n.c. row partial isometry belongs to this sub-class of quasi-extreme row partial isometries in Theorem 5.3.

This functional model for quasi-extreme (q.e.) row partial isometries, $X$, for which $b_X \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ is a square Schur class function is extended to certain contractive extensions $T$ of $X$ (see Definition 5.9) in Section 7. This class of square q.e. row contractions includes all c.n.c. row contractions in the single variable case. In particular, Theorem 7.9 shows that $T$ belongs to this class if and

only if $T \simeq X^b$, the unique contractive Gleason solution for $\mathscr{H}(b)$, for some square quasi-extreme $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ such that $b(0)$ is a strict contraction. This theorem also proves that one can define a characteristic function $b_T := b$, as a (certain several-variable analogue of a) *Frostman shift* of $b_X$ so that $b_T$ is again a complete unitary invariant for this class of row contractions. Our results extend naturally to an analogous class of contractive extensions of arbitrary quasi-extreme row partial isometries $X$ (whose characteristic functions are not necessarily square) [19]. With more work, it may be possible to extend these results to (not necessarily strict) contractive extensions of arbitrary c.n.c. row partial isometries.

1.2. **Vector-valued RKHS.** We will be using the theory of vector-valued reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces throughout this paper, as presented in *e.g.* [20]. Recall the following basic facts from RKHS theory:

Given a set $X \subset \mathbb{C}^d$, and an auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, an $\mathcal{H}$-valued RKHS $\mathcal{K}$ on $X$ is a Hilbert space of $\mathcal{H}$-valued functions on $X$ so that for any $x \in X$ the linear maps $K_x^* \in \text{Ł}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$K_x^* F = F(x) \in \mathcal{H}; \qquad F \in \mathcal{K},$$

are bounded. We write $K_x := (K_x^*)^* \in \text{Ł}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ for the Hilbert space adjoint. The operator-valued function $K : X \times X \to \text{Ł}(\mathcal{H})$:

$$K(x, y) := K_x^* K_y \in \text{Ł}(\mathcal{H}); \qquad x, y \in X,$$

is called the *reproducing kernel* of $\mathcal{K}$. One usually writes $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}(K)$. The reproducing kernel $K$ of any vector-valued RKHS on $X$ is a *positive kernel function* on $X$: A function $K : X \times X \to \text{Ł}(\mathcal{H})$ is an operator-valued positive kernel function on $X$ if for any finite set $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^N \subset X$, the matrix

$$[K(x_i, x_j)] \in \text{Ł}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{N \times N},$$

is non-negative. The vector-valued extension of the theory of RKHS developed by Aronszajn and Moore (see *e.g.* [20]) shows that there is a bijection between positive Ł($\mathcal{H}$)-valued kernel functions on $X \times X$ and RKHS of $\mathcal{H}$-valued functions on $X$. Namely, given any positive kernel $K$ on $X$ there is a unique RKHS $\mathcal{K}$ on $X$ so that $K$ is its reproducing kernel, $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}(K)$.

Any RKHS $\mathcal{H}(K)$ with positive kernel function $K$ on some set $X$, can be naturally equipped with a *multiplier algebra*:

$$\text{Mult}(\mathcal{H}(K)) := \{F : X \to \mathbb{C} |\ Fh \in \mathcal{H}(K)\ \forall h \in \mathcal{H}(K)\}.$$

That is, Mult($\mathcal{H}(k)$) is the algebra of all functions which multiply elements of $\mathcal{H}(K)$ into $\mathcal{H}(K)$. Viewing multipliers (elements of the multiplier algebra) as linear maps on $\mathcal{H}(K)$, standard functional

analysis arguments show that any multiplier is a bounded linear map, $\mathrm{Mult}(\mathcal{H}(K)) \subset \text{Ł}(\mathcal{H}(K))$, and $\mathrm{Mult}(\mathcal{H}(K))$ is closed in the weak operator topology.

We work in the setting of vector-valued Drury-Arveson space $H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is finite dimensional or separable. This is the vector-valued reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}(k)$ of $\mathcal{H}$-valued functions on the ball $\mathbb{B}^d := (\mathbb{C}^d)_1$ corresponding to the several-variable operator-valued Szegö kernel:

$$k(z,w) := \frac{1}{1 - zw^*} I_{\mathcal{H}}; \qquad z, w \in \mathbb{B}^d.$$

Here, $zw^* := (w, z)_{\mathbb{C}^d}$, all inner products are assumed to be conjugate linear in the first argument. The Drury-Arveson space is arguably the canonical several-variable generalization of the classical Hardy space $H^2_1 = H^2(\mathbb{D})$, at least from an operator-theoretic viewpoint [21, 22, 23].

We will use the notation $H^\infty_d \otimes \text{Ł}(\mathcal{H}) := \mathrm{Mult}(H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{H})$ (the multiplier algebra is the closure of the algebraic tensor product in the weak operator topology on $H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{H}$). The *Schur class* is the closed unit ball of this multiplier algebra: $\mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}) := [H^2_d \otimes \text{Ł}(\mathcal{H})]_1$. We will also need to consider the rectangular Schur class $\mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2) := [\mathrm{Mult}(H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{H}_1, H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)]_1$. In the single variable ($d = 1$) and scalar-valued ($\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}$) setting we recover the classical Hardy spaces $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ and $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ of analytic functions on the disk which embed isometrically into $L^2, L^\infty$ of the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$, respectively, by taking non-tangential boundary values [24].

As in the single variable case, given any Schur class $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$, one can construct a positive kernel function $k^b$ on $\mathbb{B}^d \times \mathbb{B}^d$:

$$k^b(z,w) := \frac{I - b(z)b(w)^*}{1 - zw^*} \in \text{Ł}(\mathcal{H}_2); \qquad z, w \in \mathbb{B}^d,$$

and the corresponding RKHS $\mathscr{H}(b) := \mathcal{H}(k^b)$ is called the deBranges-Rovnyak space associated to $b$. It is straightforward to show that a bounded analytic (operator-valued) function $b$ on $\mathbb{B}^d$ belongs to the Schur class if and only if the above formula defines a positive kernel on $\mathbb{B}^d$ [21, Theorem 3.8.3], and we will use this fact often.

It is easy to check that $k - k^b$ (with $k$ the Szegö kernel of vector-valued Drury-Arveson space) is a positive kernel function so that standard vector-valued RKHS theory implies that $\mathscr{H}(b)$ is contractively contained in $H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ [20]. Recall that multiplication by the independent variable $z$ defines an isometry $S$ on $H^2 = H^2(\mathbb{D})$ called the *shift*. The shift plays a central role in the theory of Hardy spaces [24]. The adjoint $S^*$ of the shift is called the backward shift,

$$(S^* f)(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(0)}{z}; \qquad f \in H^2,\ z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

In the single variable setting, any deBranges-Rovnyak space $\mathscr{H}(b)$ is co-invariant for the shift. The natural several-variable generalization of the shift is the Arveson *d-shift*, $S : H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \to H^2_d$, a row

partial isometry on $H^2_d$, also denoted by $S$. The component operators of $S$ are commutative, and act as multiplication by the independent variables on $H^2_d$:

$$(S\mathbf{F})(z) = z_1F_1(z) + ... + z_dF_d(z); \qquad \mathbf{F} = (F_1, ..., F_d) \in H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d.$$

Shift co-invariance of the deBranges-Rovnyak spaces $\mathscr{H}(b)$ breaks down in general as soon as $d > 1$ [25], and this is an issue we will need to address to extend the deBranges-Rovnyak functional model to c.n.c. row contractions.

1.3. **Herglotz spaces.** It will be convenient to define a second RKHS Ł$(b)$ associated to any strictly contractive (and square) $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$. A similar argument to [3, Proposition 2.1, Chapter V] shows that any $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ decomposes as $b = b_0 + b_1$ on $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$ where $\|b_0(z)h\| < \|h\|$, $\forall z \in \mathbb{B}^d$; $h \in \mathcal{H}$ is purely contractive and $b_1$ is a constant isometry on $\mathbb{B}^d$ from $\mathcal{H}_1$ onto its range in $\mathcal{H}$. We will assume throughout that $b$ is *strict*, *i.e.* a strict contraction, $\|b(z)\| < 1$, so that $I - b(z)$ is invertible for $z \in \mathbb{B}^d$. If $\dim(\mathcal{H}) < \infty$ this assumption is equivalent to assuming that $b = b_0$ is purely contractive. One can show that $b$ is strict if and only if $b(0)$ is a strict contraction.

Recall that $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ is Schur if and only if the deBranges-Rovnyak kernel $k^b$ is a positive kernel on $\mathbb{B}^d$ [21]. Similarly an analytic Ł$(\mathcal{H})-$valued function $H$ on $\mathbb{B}^d$ belongs to the *Herglotz-Schur class*, $\mathcal{S}^+_d(\mathcal{H})$, if

$$K^H(z, w) := \frac{1}{2}\frac{H(z) + H(w)^*}{1 - zw^*}; \qquad z, w \in \mathbb{B}^d,$$

is a positive kernel function. A Herglotz-Schur function $H \in \mathcal{S}^+_d(\mathcal{H})$ is *strict* if $H(z)+I_{\mathcal{H}}$ is invertible for all $z \in \mathbb{B}^d$.

There is a bijection between strict elements in the Schur and Herglotz-Schur classes defined by

$$b \mapsto H_b := (I - b)^{-1}(I + b); \qquad \text{and} \qquad H \mapsto b_H := (H + I)^{-1}(H - I).$$

The above maps are compositional inverses. If $H := H_b$ we write $K^b$ for $K^{H_b}$ and one has

$$K^b(z, w) = (I - b(z))^{-1}k^b(z, w)(I - b(w)^*)^{-1}.$$

The correpsonding RKHS Ł$(b) := \mathcal{H}(K^b)$ is called the *Herglotz space* of $b$, and $K^b$ is the Herglotz kernel. Standard RKHS theory then implies:

**Lemma 1.4.** *The map $U_b : \mathscr{H}(b) \to$ Ł$(b)$ defined by multiplication by*

$$U_b(z) := (I - b(z))^{-1}, \tag{1.1}$$

*is an onto isometry. The action of $U_b$ on point evaluation kernels is*

$$U_b k_z^b = K_z^b(I - b(z)^*).$$

It will be convenient to define a row partial isometry $V^b : \text{Ł}(b)\otimes\mathbb{C}^d \to \text{Ł}(b)$ on the Herglotz space of any square $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$z^* K_z^b h := \begin{bmatrix} \overline{z}_1 K_z^b h \\ \vdots \\ \overline{z}_d K_z^b h \end{bmatrix} \overset{V^b}{\mapsto} (K_z^b - K_0^b)h; \qquad h \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{1.2}$$

Verifying that this defines a partial isometry is a straightforward computation using the Herglotz kernel [14, Section 2].

1.5. **Gleason solutions.** In contrast with the single variable situation, as soon as $d > 1$, the deBranges-Rovnyak spaces $\mathscr{H}(b)$ are generally not co-invariant for the component operators $S_j$ of the $d-$shift [25]. Instead, the appropriate replacement for the 'adjoint of the restricted backward shift' in the several-variable theory is a contractive solution to the *Gleason problem* [26, 27, 25, 28, 7]:

**Definition 1.6.** A row-operator $X \in \text{Ł}(\mathscr{H}(b)\otimes\mathbb{C}^d, \mathscr{H}(b))$ solves the *Gleason problem* in $\mathscr{H}(b)$ if

$$z(X^* f)(z) := z_1(X_1^* f)(z) + ... + z_d(X_d^* f)(z) = f(z) - f(0); \qquad \forall f \in \mathscr{H}(b). \tag{1.3}$$

We say that a *Gleason solution* $X$ is *contractive* if

$$XX^* \leq I - k_0^b(k_0^b)^*,$$

and is *extremal* if equality holds in the above.

It is easy to check that for any row contraction $X$ on $\mathscr{H}(b)$, the Gleason solution condition (1.3) above is equivalent to:

$$(I - Xz^*)^{-1} k_0^b = k_z^b; \qquad \forall\, z \in \mathbb{B}^d, \tag{1.4}$$

and this property will be used frequently in the sequel. Given $z \in \mathbb{B}^d$, and any Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, we will often view $z$ as a strict contraction from $\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathbb{C}^d$ into $\mathcal{H}$: Define $z^* : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}\otimes\mathbb{C}^d$ by $z^* h := (\overline{z}_1 h, ..., \overline{z}_d h)^T \in \mathcal{H}\otimes\mathbb{C}^d$ and for any $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{H}\otimes\mathbb{C}^d$, the adjoint map $z := (z^*)^* \in \text{Ł}(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathbb{C}^d, \mathcal{H})$

obeys:

$$z\mathbf{h} = z \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ \vdots \\ h_d \end{bmatrix} = z_1 h_1 + ... + z_d h_d,$$

and $\|z\|^2 = zz^* := (z,z)_{\mathbb{C}^d} < 1$.

**Remark 1.7.** If $X$ is any extremal contractive Gleason solution for $\mathscr{H}(b)$ where $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ obeys $b(0) = 0$, then

$$XX^* = I - k_0^b (k_0^b)^*,$$

is a projection so that $X$ is a row partial isometry on $\mathscr{H}(b)$. In general, $P_0 := I - k_0^b k^b(0,0)^{-1}(k_0^b)^*$ is the projection onto the subspace of all functions $f \in \mathscr{H}(b)$ such that $f(0) = 0$, and

$$k^b(0,0) = I - b(0)b(0)^*,$$

so that an extremal contractive Gleason solution $X$ for $\mathscr{H}(b)$ is a row partial isometry if and only if $b(0) = 0$.

In the case where $d = 1$, the unique solution to equation (1.3) is the adjoint of the restriction of the backward shift $S^*$ to $\mathscr{H}(b)$, so that adjoints of Gleason solutions are natural analogues of the restricted backward shift in the several variable setting. Most references define a Gleason solution for $\mathscr{H}(b)$ as the adjoint of our definition above, we prefer to view it as a row contraction from several copies of a Hilbert space into itself. Contractive solutions $X$ to the Gleason problem in $\mathscr{H}(b)$ always exist, although they are in general non-unique [28]. Also note that the component operators of a contractive Gleason solution $X$ for $\mathscr{H}(b)$ are generally non-commuting. In fact, the existence of a commuting contractive Gleason solution $X$ for $\mathscr{H}(b)$ is equivalent to co-invariance of $\mathscr{H}(b)$ with respect to the component operators of the Arveson $d$-shift, and in this case $X = (S^*|_{\mathscr{H}(b)})^*$ is a contractive commuting Gleason solution for $\mathscr{H}(b)$ [25, Theorem 3.5]. This happens, for example, if $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ is an *inner multiplier*, *i.e.* multiplication by $b$, $M_b : H_d^2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_1 \to H_d^2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ is a partial isometry so that $\mathscr{H}(b) \subset H_d^2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ is a co-invariant *model subspace* of vector-valued Drury-Arveson space [22, 11, 29, 30].

Similarly we define contractive Gleason solutions for any $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$:

**Definition 1.8.** A linear map $B \in \text{Ł}(\mathcal{H}, \mathscr{H}(b) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d)$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_d \end{bmatrix}$, $b_j \in \text{Ł}(\mathcal{H}, \mathscr{H}(b))$, $1 \leq j \leq d$, is a solution to the Gleason problem for $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ provided that

$$b(z) - b(0) = z \cdot B(z) := \sum_{j=1}^{d} z_j b_j(z).$$

We say that $B$ is a *contractive* Gleason solution for $b$ if

$$B^*B \leq I - b(0)^*b(0),$$

and an *extremal* Gleason solution for $b$ if equality holds in the above.

There is an surjection $B \mapsto X(B)$ of contractive Gleason solutions for $b$ onto contractive Gleason solutions for $\mathscr{H}(b)$ given by

$$X(B)^* k_w^b := w^* k_w^b - B^* b(w)^*,$$

and this surjection is injective if and only if $\bigcap_{z \in \mathbb{B}^d} \text{Ker}(b(z)) = \{0\}$ [14, Section 5].

If $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ is square, then there is a bijection between contractive extensions $D$ of the row partial isometry $V^b$ defined on the Herglotz space Ł$(b)$ (equation (1.2) above) and contractive Gleason solutions $B = B^D$ given by

$$B^D := U_b^* D^* K_0^b (I - b(0)), \tag{1.5}$$

see [14, Section 5].

## 2. Completely non-coisometric row partial isometries.

It will be useful to extend a characterization of c.n.c. partial isometries due to Kreĭn from one to several variables. As in the classical setting a row contraction $T : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathcal{H}$ is c.n.c. if there is no non-trivial co-invariant subspace $\mathcal{H}' \subset \mathcal{H}$ (co-invariant for each component operator $T_k$ of $T$, $1 \leq k \leq d$) so that $T^*|_{\mathcal{H}'}$ is an isometry of $\mathcal{H}'$ into $\mathcal{H}' \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$.

Given any row partial isometry $X$ we define the *restricted range space*:

$$\mathcal{R}(X - z) := \text{Ran}\left((X - z)X^*X\right); \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^d,$$

as the range of $X - z$ restricted to the initial space of $X$. The orthogonal complement $\mathcal{R}(X - z)^\perp$ will be called a deficiency space or the $z-$*defect space*.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let $X : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathcal{H}$ be a row partial isometry and define*

$$\mathcal{H}' := \bigcap_{z \in \mathbb{B}^d} \mathcal{R}\left(X - z\right).$$

*The subspace $\mathcal{H}'$ is co-invariant for $X$, $X' := (X^*|_{\mathcal{H}'})^*$ is a row co-isometry on $\mathcal{H}'$, and $\mathcal{H}'$ is the largest subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ with these properties.*

It follows that a row partial isometry $X$ on $\mathcal{H}$ is c.n.c. if and only if $\bigcap_{z \in \mathbb{B}^d} \mathcal{R}\left(X - z\right) = \{0\}$, or equivalently,

$$\bigvee_{z \in \mathbb{B}^d} \mathcal{R}\left(X - z\right)^\perp = \mathcal{H},$$

where $\bigvee$ is closed linear span.

The above proposition is a generalization of a characterization of c.n.u. partial isometries due to Kreĭn [31, Chapter 1, Theorem 2.1]. Kreĭn's result is proven in the setting of unbounded symmetric operators. This can be restated in terms of partial isometries using the Cayley transform, a fractional linear transformation that implements a bijection between partial isometries and symmetric linear transformations [32].

It will be convenient to first establish several preliminary facts. Given a partial isometry $X : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2$, and a contraction $T : \mathcal{K}_1 \to \mathcal{K}_2$ where $\mathcal{H}_k \subset \mathcal{K}_k$, we say that $T$ extends $X$ and write $X \subseteq T$ if $TX^*X = X$.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Given a partial isometry $X : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2$ and a contraction $T : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2$, $T$ extends $X$ if and only if $T^*$ extends $X^*$. It follows that $T \supseteq X$ if and only if $T = X + C$ where $C : \mathrm{Ker}(X) \to \mathrm{Ran}\left(X\right)^\perp$ is a contraction between the defect spaces of the partial isometry $X$.*

*Proof.* Suppose that $X \subseteq T$. Since $T(X^*X) = X(X^*X) = X$ it follows that $(XX^*)T(X^*X) = T(X^*X) = X$. Taking adjoints shows $X^* = (X^*X)T(XX^*)$. It follows that if $f = XX^*f$ is a unit norm element in $\mathrm{Ran}\left(X\right)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \|T^*f\|^2 = \|(X^*X)T^*f\|^2 + \|(I - X^*X)T^*f\|^2 \\ &= \|X^*f\|^2 + \|(I - X^*X)T^*f\|^2 \\ &= 1 + \|(I - X^*X)T^*f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $T^*(XX^*) = (X^*X)T(XX^*) = X^*(XX^*) = X^*$ and $X^* \subseteq T^*$. The converse follows by symmetry. □

**Proposition 2.3.** *Given a partial isometry $X : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2$, a contractive extension $T : \mathcal{K}_1 \to \mathcal{K}_2$, $T \supseteq X$, and any $z \in \mathbb{B}^d$, $(I - Tz^*)^{-1}$ is a bijection of $\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathrm{Ran}\left(X\right)$ onto $\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{R}\left(X - z\right)$.*

*Proof.* First we will show that $(I - Tz^*)$ maps $\mathcal{R}(X-z)^\perp$ into $\mathrm{Ran}(X)^\perp$: Given $F \in \mathcal{R}(X-z)^\perp$ and $G = X\mathbf{G} \in \mathrm{Ran}(X)$ where $\mathbf{G} = X^*X\mathbf{G} \in \mathrm{Ker}(X)^\perp$, consider

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (I-Tz^*)F, G\rangle &= \langle F, (I-zT^*)X\mathbf{G}\rangle \\ &= \langle F, (X - zX^*X)\mathbf{G}\rangle \\ &= \langle F, (I-zX^*)X^*X\mathbf{G}\rangle = 0.\end{aligned}$$

To show that $(I-Tz^*)$ is onto, we will show that $(I-Tz^*)^{-1}$ maps $\mathrm{Ran}(X)^\perp$ into $\mathcal{R}(X-z)^\perp$: Given $F \in \mathrm{Ran}(X)^\perp$ and $G = (X-z)X^*X\mathbf{G} = (X-z)\mathbf{G} \in \mathcal{R}(X-z)$ where $\mathbf{G} = X^*X\mathbf{G} \in \mathrm{Ker}(X)^\perp$, calculate:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (I-Tz^*)^{-1}F, (X-z)\mathbf{G}\rangle &= \langle (I-Tz^*)^{-1}F, (I-zX^*)X\mathbf{G}\rangle \\ &= \langle F, (I-zT^*)^{-1}(I-zT^*)X\mathbf{G}\rangle \\ &= \langle F, X\mathbf{G}\rangle = 0.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

**Corollary 2.4.** *For any $z, w \in \mathbb{B}^d$ and $T, X$ as above, $(I-Tw^*)^{-1}(I-Tz^*) : \mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{R}(X-z) \to \mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{R}(X-w)$ is a bijection.*

*Proof.* (of Proposition 2.1) First suppose that $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is co-invariant for $X$ (*i.e.* co-invariant for each $X_k$) and that $X^*|_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a co-isometry. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}(X-z)X^*X(\mathcal{L}\otimes\mathbb{C}^d) &= (I-zX^*)X(\mathcal{L}\otimes\mathbb{C}^d) \\ &= (I-zX^*)\mathcal{L} \qquad (X \text{ is co-isometric hence onto}) \\ &= \mathcal{L}.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\mathcal{L} = (X-z)X^*X\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{R}(X-z)$ for any $z \in \mathbb{B}^d$ so that $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{H}'$. It remains to show that $\mathcal{H}'$ is co-invariant and that $X^*|_{\mathcal{H}'}$ is an isometry.

Let $U \supseteq X$ be any co-isometric (*e.g.* Cuntz unitary) extension of $X$ to a potentially larger Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$. If $F \in \mathcal{H}'$, then by the above Corollary 2.4,

$$F \perp (I-Uz^*)^{-1}(I-Uw^*)\left(\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{R}(X-w)\right); \qquad z, w \in \mathbb{B}^d,$$

or equivalently

$$(I-wU^*)(I-zU^*)^{-1}F \perp \mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{R}(X-w); \qquad z, w \in \mathbb{B}^d.$$

In particular, setting $w = 0$,

$$(I - zU^*)^{-1}F \in \mathrm{Ran}\,(X)\,; \qquad \forall\ z \in \mathbb{B}^d.$$

Let $(\mathbb{N}^d, +)$ be the unital additive semigroup of $d-$tuples of non-negative integers. By [13, Lemma 2.2], it follows that

$$(U^*)^{\mathbf{n}}F \in \mathrm{Ran}\,(X)\,; \qquad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d,$$

and in particular $(zU^*)^k F \in \mathrm{Ran}\,(X)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. In general if $G \in \mathrm{Ran}\,(X)$ then

$$(I - zU^*)G = (U - z)U^*G = (U - z)X^*G = (X - z)X^*G \in \mathcal{R}\,(X - z)\,,$$

since $U \supseteq X$ extends $X$. It follows that

$$(I - zU^*)(zU^*)^k F \in \mathcal{R}\,(X - z)\,; \qquad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Setting $k = 0$ and using that $F \in \mathcal{H}' \subset \mathcal{R}\,(X - z)$ shows that $zU^*F \in \mathcal{R}\,(X - z)$. Taking $k = 1$ and using that $zU^*F \in \mathcal{R}\,(X - z)$ then implies

$$(zU^*)^2 F \in \mathcal{R}\,(X - z)\,,$$

and an inductive argument shows $(zU^*)^k F \in \mathcal{R}\,(X - z)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Again by [13, Lemma 2.2], it follows that $(U^*)^{\mathbf{n}}F \in \mathcal{R}\,(X - z)$ for any $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and any $z \in \mathbb{B}^d$. In particular,

$$U_k^* F \in \mathcal{R}\,(X - z)\,; \qquad z \in \mathbb{B}^d,\ 1 \leq k \leq d,$$

so that $U_k^* F \in \mathcal{H}'$ for any $1 \leq k \leq d$ and $\mathcal{H}'$ is co-invariant.

If $F \in \mathcal{H}' \subset \mathrm{Ran}\,(X)$ as above then there is a $\mathbf{G} \in \mathrm{Ker}(X)^\perp$ such that $F = X\mathbf{G}$, $\mathbf{G} = X^*X\mathbf{G} = X^*F$ so that $\|F\| = \|\mathbf{G}\|$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} U^*F &= U^*X\mathbf{G} \\ &= X^*X\mathbf{G} = X^*F, \end{aligned}$$

and $X^*|_{\mathcal{H}'}$ is an isometry. □

We will sometimes refer to a c.n.c. row partial isometry $X : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathcal{H}$ as a *Gleason* row partial isometry for reasons that will be made clear in the following section. For the remainder of this paper we will focus on Gleason or c.n.c. row partial isometries and their contractive extensions.

## 3. Model maps for c.n.c. row partial isometries

Let $X : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathcal{H}$ be a Gleason or c.n.c. row partial isometry. By the characterization of the previous section,

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigvee_{z \in \mathbb{B}^d} \mathcal{R}\left(X - z\right)^{\perp}.$$

Fix an auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{J}_0$ of dimension $\mathrm{Ran}\left(X\right)^{\perp}$ and an onto isometry $j_0 : \mathcal{J}_0 \to \mathrm{Ran}\left(X\right)^{\perp}$. Any row contractive extension $T \supseteq X$ of $X$ on can be used to define the *model map* $\Gamma_T : \mathbb{B}^d \to \text{Ł}(\mathcal{J}_0, \mathcal{H})$:

$$\Gamma_T(z) := (I - Tz^*)^{-1} j_0.$$

Since $T \supseteq X$, Proposition 2.3 shows that $\Gamma_T(z) : \mathrm{Ran}\left(X\right)^{\perp} \to \mathcal{R}\left(X - z\right)^{\perp}$ is a bijection between the defect spaces for $z \in \mathbb{B}^d$. In particular, since $X$ is c.n.c.

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigvee_{z \in \mathbb{B}^d} \mathrm{Ran}\left(\Gamma_T(z)\right).$$

Most simply, one can choose $T = X$.

Let $\Gamma := \Gamma_T$ for some $T \supseteq X$, $T \in \text{Ł}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d, \mathcal{H})$. For any $h \in \mathcal{H}$, define

$$\hat{h}(z) := \Gamma(z)^* h,$$

an analytic $\mathcal{J}_0$-valued function on $\mathbb{B}^d$. Let $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ be the vector space of all $\hat{h}$ for $h \in \mathcal{H}$. We can endow $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ with an inner product:

$$\langle \hat{h}, \hat{g} \rangle_{\hat{\mathcal{H}}} := \langle h, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

The space $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ depends on the choice of model map $\Gamma$. When it is necessary to make this dependence explicit, we will use the notation $\hat{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma)$ for $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$.

**Proposition 3.1.** *The sesquilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\hat{\mathcal{H}}}$ is an inner product on $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$, and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is a Hilbert space with respect to this inner product.*

*The Hilbert space $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space of $\mathcal{J}_0$-valued analytic functions on $\mathbb{B}^d$ with reproducing kernel*

$$\hat{K}(z, w) := \Gamma(z)^* \Gamma(w) \in \text{Ł}(\mathcal{J}_0).$$

*The map $\hat{U} : \mathcal{H} \to \hat{\mathcal{H}}$ defined by $\hat{U}h = \hat{h}$ is an onto isometry and*

$$\hat{K}_z g = \hat{U}\Gamma(z)g = \widehat{\Gamma(z)g}; \qquad g \in \mathcal{J}_0.$$

*Proof.* This is all pretty easy to verify. In order to show that $\langle \hat{h}, \hat{g} \rangle := \langle h, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is an inner product, the only non-immediate property to check is that there are no non-zero vectors of zero length with respect to this sesquilinear form, or equivalently that $\hat{h}(z) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{B}^d$ implies that $h = 0$. This is clear since $\hat{h}(z) = \Gamma(z)^* h$, and since $X$ is c.n.c.,

$$\bigvee_{z \in \mathbb{B}^d} \mathrm{Ran}\,(\Gamma(z)) = \mathcal{H},$$

so that $\bigcap_{z \in \mathbb{B}^d} \mathrm{Ker}(\Gamma(z)^*) = \{0\}$.

The map $\hat{U} : \mathcal{H} \to \hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is an onto isometry by definition of the inner product in $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$. For any $g \in \mathcal{J}_0$, compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{U}\Gamma(z)g, \hat{h} \rangle_{\hat{\mathcal{H}}} &= \langle \Gamma(z)g, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \langle g, \Gamma(z)^* h \rangle_{\mathcal{J}_0} \\ &= \langle g, \hat{h}(z) \rangle_{\mathcal{J}_0} \\ &=: \langle g, \hat{K}_z^* \hat{h} \rangle_{\mathcal{J}_0}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

This proves simultaneously that $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is a RKHS of $\mathcal{J}_0$-valued functions on $\mathbb{B}^d$ with point evaluation maps

$$\hat{K}_z = \hat{U}\Gamma(z) \in \text{Ł}(\mathcal{J}_0, \hat{\mathcal{H}}),$$

and reproducing kernel

$$\hat{K}(z, w) = \hat{K}_z^* \hat{K}_w = \Gamma(z)^* \Gamma(w) \in \text{Ł}(\mathcal{J}_0).$$

□

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let $X : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathcal{H}$ be a c.n.c. row partial isometry with corresponding model map $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{J}_0, \mathcal{H})$. The image, $\hat{X} := \hat{U}X\hat{U}^*$ of $X$ under the unitary map $\hat{U}$ onto the model RKHS $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ acts as multiplication by $z = (z_1, ..., z_d)$ on its initial space:*

$$\mathrm{Ran}\left(\hat{X}\right) = \{\hat{h} \in \hat{\mathcal{H}} |\ \hat{h}(0) = 0\},$$

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\hat{X})^\perp \subseteq \{\hat{\mathbf{h}} \in \hat{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d |\ z\hat{\mathbf{h}}(z) = \hat{g}(z) \text{ for some } \hat{g} \in \hat{\mathcal{H}} \text{ and } \|z\hat{\mathbf{h}}\| = \|\hat{\mathbf{h}}\|\},$$

*and for any $\hat{\mathbf{h}} = (\hat{h}_1, ..., \hat{h}_d)^T \in \mathrm{Ker}(\hat{X})^\perp$,*

$$(\hat{X}\hat{\mathbf{h}})(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{X}_1\hat{h}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{X}_d\hat{h}_d \end{bmatrix}(z) = z_1\hat{h}_1(z) + ... + z_d\hat{h}_d(z) = z\hat{\mathbf{h}}(z).$$

*Proof.* First suppose that $\hat{\mathbf{h}} \in \mathrm{Ker}(\hat{X})^{\perp}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{X}\hat{\mathbf{h}} &= (\hat{U}X\mathbf{h})(z) \\
&= \Gamma(z)^*(X\mathbf{h}) \\
&= \Gamma(z)^*\left((X-z)\mathbf{h} + z\mathbf{h}\right) \\
&= \Gamma(z)^* z\mathbf{h} \qquad \text{since } (X-z)\mathbf{h} = (X-z)X^*X\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{R}\left(X-z\right) = \mathrm{Ran}\left(\Gamma(z)\right)^{\perp} \\
&= z(\Gamma(z)^* \otimes I_d)\mathbf{h} \\
&= z\hat{\mathbf{h}}(z).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\hat{X}$ acts as multiplication by $z$ on its initial space and that

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\hat{X})^{\perp} \subseteq \{\hat{\mathbf{h}} \in \hat{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d |\ z\hat{\mathbf{h}}(z) \in \hat{\mathcal{H}} \text{ and } \|z\hat{\mathbf{h}}\| = \|\hat{\mathbf{h}}\|\},$$

since $\hat{X}$ is a partial isometry. The range statement is clear since $0 = \hat{h}(0) = \Gamma(0)^* h$ if and only if $h \in \mathrm{Ran}\left(X\right)$. □

Fix another auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{J}_\infty$ of dimension $\dim\left(\mathrm{Ker}(X)\right)$, let $\mathbf{j}_\infty : \mathcal{J}_\infty \to \mathrm{Ker}(X)$ be any fixed onto isometry and let $\Gamma = \Gamma_T$ be any fixed model map for $X$ defined using a contractive extension $T \supseteq X$ on $\mathcal{H}$. The pair of maps $(\mathbf{j}_\infty, \Gamma)$ can be used to define a *characteristic function* for the c.n.c. row partial isometry $X$:

First consider

$$D(z) := \Gamma(z)^*\Gamma(0) = \hat{K}(z,0) \in \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{J}_0),$$

$$N(z) := (\Gamma(z)^* \otimes I_d)j_\infty \in \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{J}_\infty, \mathcal{J}_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}^d).$$

Then define

$$b_X(z) := D(z)^{-1} z N(z) \in \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{J}_\infty, \mathcal{J}_0).$$

The function $b_X$ is called a (representative) *characteristic function* of the Gleason or c.n.c. row partial isometry $X$. Observe that $b_X$ is analytic on $\mathbb{B}^d$ and that $D(z)$ is invertible since $\Gamma(z)$ is always a bijection onto the defect space $\mathcal{R}\left(X-z\right)$ for any $z \in \mathbb{B}^d$.

**Theorem 3.3.** *The reproducing kernel for $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ can be written:*

$$\hat{K}(z,w) = \frac{D(z)D(w)^* - zN(z)N(w)^* w^*}{1 - zw^*} = D(z)\frac{I - b_X(z)b_X(w)^*}{1 - zw^*}D(w)^* \in L(\mathcal{J}_0).$$

*It follows that $b_X \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{J}_\infty, \mathcal{J}_0)$ is Schur class, and that multiplication by $D(z)$ is an isometry, $U_D$, of $\mathscr{H}(b_X)$ onto $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$.*

*Moreover $Z := U_D^* \hat{X} U_D$ is an extremal contractive Gleason solution for $\mathscr{H}(b_X)$ which acts as multiplication by $z$ on its initial space.*

*Proof.* Let $P_0 := P_{\mathrm{Ran}(\hat{X})^\perp} = \hat{U} j_0 j_0^* \hat{U}^*$ and $P_\infty := P_{\mathrm{Ker}(\hat{X})} = \hat{U} \mathbf{j}_\infty \mathbf{j}_\infty^* \hat{U}^*$. Then consider

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{K}_z^* z \hat{X}^* \hat{K}_w &= \left( \hat{K}_w^* \hat{X} (I - P_\infty) z^* \hat{K}_z^* \right)^* \\ &= \left( \hat{K}_w^* w (I - P_\infty) z^* \hat{K}_z \right)^* \\ &= \hat{K}_z^* z (I - P_\infty) w^* \hat{K}_w \\ &= z w^* \hat{K}(z,w) - \hat{K}_z^* z P_\infty w^* \hat{K}_w. \end{aligned}$$

In the above we used that $\hat{X}$ acts as multiplication by $z$ on its initial space and $I - P_\infty = P^\perp_{\mathrm{Ker}(X)}$ is the projection onto this initial space.

The same expression can be evaluated differently:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{K}_z^* z \hat{X}^* \hat{K}_w &= \hat{K}_z^* z \hat{X}^* (I - P_0) \hat{K}_w \\ &= \hat{K}_z^* \hat{X} \hat{X}^* (I - P_0) \hat{K}_w \\ &= \hat{K}_z^* (I - P_0) \hat{K}_w \qquad (I - P_0) = P_{\mathrm{Ran}(\hat{X})} = \hat{X} \hat{X}^* \\ &= \hat{K}(z,w) - \hat{K}_z^* P_0 \hat{K}_w. \end{aligned}$$

Again, in the above we used that $\hat{X}$ acts as multiplication by $z$ on its initial space, the range of $\hat{X}^*$.

Equating these two expressions and solving for $\hat{K}(z,w)$ yields:

$$\hat{K}(z,w) = \frac{\hat{K}_z^* P_0 \hat{K}_w - \hat{K}_z^* z P_\infty w^* \hat{K}_w}{1 - z w^*}.$$

Use that $\hat{K}_z = \hat{U} \Gamma(z)$, $P_0 = \hat{U} j_0 j_0^* \hat{U}^*$, $P_\infty = \hat{U} \mathbf{j}_\infty \mathbf{j}_\infty^* \hat{U}^*$, and that

$$\hat{K}_z^* z P_\infty w^* \hat{K}_w = \Gamma(z)^* z \mathbf{j}_\infty \mathbf{j}_\infty^* w^* \Gamma(w)$$

to obtain

$$\hat{K}(z,w) = \frac{D(z) D(w)^* - z N(z) N(w)^* w^*}{1 - z w^*}; \qquad z, w \in \mathbb{B}^d.$$

In particular it follows that $b_X = D(z)^{-1} z N(z) \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{J}_\infty, \mathcal{J}_0)$ as claimed.

Since $U_D^*$, multiplication by $D(z)^{-1}$, is an isometric multiplier of $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}$ onto $\mathscr{H}(b_X)$, it is clear that $Z := U_D^* \hat{X} U_D$ acts as multiplication by $z$ on its initial space, and that

$$\mathrm{Ran}\,(Z) := \{f \in \mathscr{H}(b_X) |\ f(0) = 0\}.$$

Hence, $ZZ^* = I - k_0^b (k_0^b)^*$ and

$$\begin{aligned} z(Z^* f)(z) &= (ZZ^* f)(z) \\ &= f(z) - k^b(z,0) f(0) \\ &= f(z) - f(0), \end{aligned}$$

since $b(0) = 0$. This proves that $Z$ is a (contractive) extremal Gleason solution for $\mathscr{H}(b_X)$. □

**Remark 3.4.** All characteristic functions of a c.n.c. row partial isometry $X$ are the same up to a natural notion of equivalence:

Consider any family of maps $(j_z, \mathbf{j}_\infty)$ where each $j_z : \mathcal{J}_0 \to \mathcal{R}\,(X - z)^\perp$ is a linear isomorphism for $z \in \mathbb{B}^d$, $j_0$ is an onto isometry and $\mathbf{j}_\infty : \mathcal{J}_\infty \to \mathrm{Ker}(X)$ is also an onto isometry. Such maps will be called *quasi-model maps* for $X$. One can choose $j_z = \Gamma_T(z)$ for any model map $\Gamma_T$ for $X \subseteq T$, but we place no such restrictions on the maps $j_z$ in general (in particular $j_z$ need not be anti-analytic). This family of maps $(j_z, \mathbf{j}_\infty)$ can be used to construct a characteristic function $b_{X;j}$ of $X$:

As before, define

$$d(z) := j_z^* j_0, \qquad n(z) := (j_z^* \otimes I_d)\mathbf{j}_\infty,$$

and

$$b_{X;j}(z) := d(z)^{-1} z n(z) \in \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{J}_\infty, \mathcal{J}_0).$$

Suppose that $J = (J_z, \mathbf{J}_\infty)$ is another choice of such maps with corresponding characteristic function $b_{X;J}(z) = D(z)^{-1} z N(z)$. We can assume without loss of generality that the initial spaces of the $j_z, J_z$ are both equal to $\mathcal{J}_0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{B}^d$, and that the initial spaces of $\mathbf{j}_\infty, \mathbf{J}_\infty$ are both equal to $\mathcal{J}_\infty$. Since $j_z, J_z$ are both isomorphisms of $\mathcal{J}_0$ onto $\mathcal{R}\,(X - z)^\perp$, for each $z \in \mathbb{B}^d$ there is a linear isomorphism $C_z$ of $\mathcal{J}_0$ (an invertible bounded linear operator) so that $J_z = j_z C_z$. Namely,

$$C_z := j_z^* (j_z j_z^*)^{-1} J_z,$$

and $C_0$ is unitary since we assume that $j_0, J_0$ are onto isometries. Similarly there is a unitary $C_\infty$ of $\mathcal{J}_\infty$ so that $\mathbf{J}_\infty = \mathbf{j}_\infty C_\infty$.

The characteristic function $b_{X;J}$ can then be computed as

$$\begin{aligned} D(z) &= J_z^* J_0 \\ &= C_z^* j_z^* j_0 C_0 \\ &= C_z^* d(z) C_0, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$zN(z) = C_z^* z n(z) C_\infty.$$

Then, since each $C_z$ is invertible and $C_0, C_\infty$ are unitary,

$$b_{X;J}(z) = D(z)^{-1} z N(z) = C_0^* d(z)^{-1} z n(z) C_\infty = C_0^* b_{X;j}(z) C_\infty.$$

In particular we are free to choose $j_z = \Gamma(z) = \Gamma_T(z)$ for any contractive extension $T \supseteq X$, and the above calculation proves that the characteristic function $b_X$ of a c.n.c. row partial isometry $X$ is unique up to a natural notion of equivalence: Namely, two Schur class functions $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ are said to *coincide* if

$$b_1 = U b_2 V,$$

for fixed unitaries $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_2), V \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_1)$ on the initial and final spaces of the $b_k(z)$.

More generally, $b_1 \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ and $b_2 \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1', \mathcal{H}_2')$ are said to coincide if there are onto isometries $U : \mathcal{H}_2' \to \mathcal{H}_2$ and $V : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_1'$ so that $b_1 = U b_2 V$. It will also be useful to define *weak coincidence* as in [12, Definition 2.4]:

**Definition 3.5.** The *support* of $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ is

$$\operatorname{supp}(b) := \bigvee_{z \in \mathbb{B}^d} \operatorname{Ran}(b(z)^*) = \mathcal{H}_1 \ominus \bigcap_{z \in \mathbb{B}^d} \operatorname{Ker}(b(z)).$$

$b_1 \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ and $b_2 \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1', \mathcal{H}_2')$ *coincide weakly* if $b_1' := b_1|_{\operatorname{supp}(b_1)}$ coincides with $b_2' := b_2|_{\operatorname{supp}(b_2)}$.

By [12, Lemma 2.5], $b_1 \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ and $b_2 \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1', \mathcal{H}_2')$ coincide weakly if and only if there is an onto isometry $V : \mathcal{H}_2 \to \mathcal{H}_2'$ so that

$$V b_1(z) b_1(w)^* V = b_2(z) b_2(w)^*; \qquad z, w \in \mathbb{B}^d,$$

*i.e.*, if and only if $\mathscr{H}(b_2) = \mathscr{H}(V b_1)$.

Coincidence and weak coincidence define equivalence relations on Schur class functions, and the above arguments show that any characteristic function $b_X$ of a c.n.c. row partial isometry constructed using any choice of quasi-model maps $j_z$ and $\mathbf{j}_\infty$ belongs to a unique (coincidence) equivalence class. More generally,

**Definition 3.6.** A *characteristic function* of a c.n.c. row partial isometry $X$ is any Schur class function in the unique weak-coincidence equivalence class of all Schur class functions $b_X \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{J}_0, \mathcal{J}_\infty)$ as constructed above.

Since any characteristic function of a c.n.c. row partial isometry $X$ is essentially unique (unique up to weak conicidence), we will often refer to any $b_{X;j}$, for quasi model maps $j = (j_z, \mathbf{j}_\infty)$, as *the characteristic function of* $X$, and we will simply write $b_X = b_{X;j}$.

In the single variable case when $d = 1$ and $X$ has equal defect indices, the above definition of characteristic function reduces to that of the *Livšic characteristic function* of the partial isometry $X$ [33, 17, 16].

**Corollary 3.7.** *Given any Schur class $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ such that $b(0) = 0$, let $X$ be an extremal contractive Gleason solution for $\mathscr{H}(b)$. Then $X$ is a c.n.c. row partial isometry with characteristic function (coinciding weakly with) $b$.*

*Proof.* Recall that by equation (1.4), any Gleason solution $X$ for $\mathscr{H}(b)$ has the property

$$(I - X^* z)^{-1} k_0^b = k_z^b.$$

Moreover, the assumption that $X$ is extremal and $b(0) = 0$ implies that

$$XX^* = I - k_0^b (k_0^b)^*.$$

This is a projection so that $X$ is a row partial isometry with $\mathrm{Ran}\,(X)^\perp = \bigvee k_0^b \mathcal{H}_2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_{z \in \mathbb{B}^d} \mathcal{R}\,(X - z)^\perp &= \bigvee_{z \in \mathbb{B}^d} (I - X^* z)^{-1} \mathrm{Ran}\,(X)^\perp \\ &= \bigvee_{z \in \mathbb{B}^d} k_z^b \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathscr{H}(b), \end{aligned}$$

so that $X$ is c.n.c.

To calculate the characteristic function of $X$, use the model $\Gamma = \Gamma_X$, with $j_0 := k_0^b$, an isometry of $\mathcal{H}_2$ onto $\mathrm{Ran}\,(X)^\perp$ since $b(0) = 0$. Then,

$$\Gamma(z) = (I - Xz^*)^{-1} k_0^b = k_z^b, \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$D(z) = \Gamma(z)^*\Gamma_0 = (k_z^b)^* k_0^b = k^b(z,0) = I_{\mathcal{H}_2}.$$

It then follows that $zN(z) = b_X(z)$, and by equation (3.2) and Theorem 3.3, the reproducing kernel for the model space $\widehat{\mathscr{H}(b)}$ is

$$\hat{K}(z,w) = \Gamma(z)^*\Gamma(w) = k^b(z,w) = k^{b_X}(z,w); \qquad z,w \in \mathbb{B}^d.$$

This proves that $\mathscr{H}(b_X) = \mathscr{H}(b)$ and [12, Lemma 2.5] implies that $b_X$ coincides weakly with $b$. □

**Corollary 3.8.** *If $X$ is an extremal contractive Gleason solution for any Schur class $b$ such that $b(0) = 0$ then $X$ acts as multiplication by $z = (z_1, ..., z_d)$ on its initial space.*

*Proof.* If we take $\Gamma = \Gamma_X$ as our model map for $X$ recall that in this case $\widehat{\mathscr{H}(b)} = \mathscr{H}(b)$ and $X = \hat{X}$, so that $X$ acts as multiplication by $z$ on its initial space by Theorem 3.3. □

**Remark 3.9.** Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.7 show that the (weak coincidence class of any) characteristic function is a unitary invariant: If $X, Y$ are two c.n.c. row partial isometries which are unitarily equivalent then one can choose model maps for $X, Y$ so that they have the same characteristic function. Conversely if the characteristic functions of $X, Y$ coincide weakly, $\mathscr{H}(b_X) = \mathscr{H}(Ub_Y)$ for a fixed unitary $U$, then both $X, Y$ are unitarily equivalent to extremal contractive Gleason solutions for $\mathscr{H}(b_X)$ (it is easy to show that multiplication by $U$ is a constant unitary multiplier of $\mathscr{H}(b_X)$ onto $\mathscr{H}(Ub_X)$ taking extremal Gleason solutions onto extremal Gleason solutions).

Unless $d = 1$, the characteristic function of a c.n.c. row partial isometry is generally not a complete unitary invariant. Indeed, if $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$, $b(0) = 0$ has two extremal contractive Gleason solutions $X, X'$ which are not unitarily equivalent, the above results show that $X, X'$ are two non-equivalent c.n.c. row partial isometries with the same characteristic function. The example below shows that this is generally possible.

**Example 3.10.** Let $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ be any square Schur class function with $b(0) = 0$ and $\mathrm{supp}(b) = \mathcal{H}$.

Recall that one can define a row partial isometry $V^b$ on the Herglotz space Ł$(b)$ by $V^b z^* K_z^b = K_z^b - K_0^b$ (see Section 1.3). By [14, Section 4], there is a bijection from row-contractive extensions $D$ of $V^b$ on Ł$(b)$ onto contractive Gleason solutions $X(D)$ for $\mathscr{H}(b)$ given by

$$(X(D))^* k_w^b := w^* k_w^b - (B^D)^* b(w)^*, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$B^D := U_b^* D^* K_0^b.$$

Suppose that $V^b$ has a co-isometric extension $D$ on $\text{Ł}(b)$. It follows that one can define a row partial isometry

$$\mathcal{Z}^D := (I - K_0^b(K_0^b)^*)D.$$

**Claim 3.11.** *If $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ is such that $b(0) = 0$ and $V^b$ has a co-isometric extension $D$, then $Z = U_b^* \mathcal{Z}^D U_b$ is an extremal contractive Gleason solution for $\mathscr{H}(b)$.*

*Proof.* As described above, given $D \supseteq V^b$, one can define a contractive Gleason solution $Z := X(D)$ for $\mathscr{H}(b)$ by:

$$Z^* k_w^b := w^* k_w^b - Bb(w)^*,$$

where in this case where $b(0) = 0$, $B := U_b^* D^* K_0^b$. Let $Y := U_b Z U_b^*$ acting on $\text{Ł}(b)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} Y^* K_w^b &= U_b Y^* k_w^b (I - b(w)^*)^{-1} \\ &= U w^* k_w^b (I - b(w)^*)^{-1} - D^* K_0^b b(w)^* (I - b(w)^*)^{-1} \\ &= w^* K_w^b - D^* K_0^b b(w)^* (I - b(w)^*)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Compare the above to

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{Z}^D)^* K_w^b &= D^*(I - K_0^b(K_0^b)^*)K_w^b \\ &= D^*(K_w^b - K_0^b) - D^* K_0^b (K^b(0,w) - I) \\ &= (V^b)^*(K_w^b - K_0^b) - D^* K_0^b (K^b(0,w) - I) \\ &= w^* K_w^b - D^* K_0^b (K^b(0,w) - I). \end{aligned}$$

In the above we used that $\text{Ran}\left(V^b\right) = \bigvee_{z \in \mathbb{B}^d} (K_z^b - K_0^b)\mathcal{H}$ and that $D^* \supseteq (V^b)^*$.

To prove that $\mathcal{Z}^D = Y$, calculate:

$$K^b(0,w) - I = (I - b(w)^*)^{-1} - I = b(w)^*(I - b(w)^*)^{-1}.$$

By construction $\mathcal{Z}^D$ is a partial isometry such that $\mathcal{Z}^D(\mathcal{Z}^D)^* = I - K_0^b(K_0^b)^*$. It follows that the Gleason solution $Z = U_b^* \mathcal{Z}^D U_b$ is a partial isometry with range projection

$$ZZ^* = I - k_0^b(k_0^b)^*,$$

so that $Z$ is extremal. □

**Claim 3.12.** *Suppose that $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ obeys $b(0) = 0$, and that $\mathscr{H}(b)$ has two extremal contractive Gleason solutions $X, Y$ which are unitarily equivalent, $WX = YW$, for some unitary $W \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{H}(b))$.*

*Then $W$ is a constant unitary multiplier: There is a constant unitary $R \in \text{Ł}(\mathcal{H})$ so that $Wk_z^b = k_z^b R$ and $(WF)(z) = R^*F(z)$ for all $F \in \mathscr{H}(b)$ and $z \in \mathbb{B}^d$.*

*Proof.* Suppose that $X, Y$ are unitarily equivalent, $WX = YX$ for some unitary $W : \mathscr{H}(b) \to \mathscr{H}(b)$. Since both $X, Y$ are extremal and $b(0) = 0$, they are both row partial isometries with the same range projection $XX^* = I - k_0^b(k_0^b)^* = YY^*$. It follows that the range of $k_0^b$ is a reducing subspace for $W$ so that there is a unitary $R \in \text{Ł}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$Wk_0^b = k_0^b R.$$

Moreover, by the Property 1.4, given any $z \in \mathbb{B}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} Wk_z^b &= W(I - Xz^*)^{-1}k_0^b \\ &= (I - Yz^*)^{-1}Wk_0^b \\ &= (I - Yz^*)^{-1}k_0^b R = k_z^b R, \end{aligned}$$

so that $W$ is a constant unitary multiplier by the unitary operator $R^* \in \text{Ł}(\mathcal{H})$. □

**Corollary 3.13.** *If $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathbb{C})$ satisfies $b(0) = 0$ and $\dim\left(\text{Ker}(V^b)\right) > \dim\left(\text{Ran}\left(V^b\right)^\perp\right) \neq 0$, then $\mathscr{H}(b)$ has two extremal contractive Gleason solutions which are not unitarily equivalent.*

*Proof.* In this case where $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}$ the dimension of $\text{Ran}\left(V^b\right)^\perp$ is either 0 or 1. By assumption $\dim\left(\text{Ker}(V^b)\right) > 1 = \dim\left(\text{Ran}\left(V^b\right)\right)$ so that we can define two co-isometric extensions $D, d$ of $V^b$ on $\text{Ł}(b)$ so that

$$D^*K_0^b \perp d^*K_0^b.$$

By Claim 3.11, these co-isometric extensions can be used to define extremal contractive Gleason solutions for $\mathscr{H}(b)$ by

$$X(D)^*k_w^b := w^*k_w^b - B^D b(w)^*,$$

where also as before $B^D := U_b^* D^* K_0^b$ is an extremal contractive Gleason solution for $b$. The Gleason solution $X(d)$ is defined analogously.

Suppose that $X(D), X(d)$ are unitarily equivalent, $WX(d) = X(D)W$ for a unitary $W$ on $\mathscr{H}(b)$. By the previous claim, $W$ is a constant unitary multiplier by a unitary operator $R \in \text{Ł}(\mathbb{C})$. That is, $W$ is simply multiplication by a unimodular constant $R = \alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, $W = \alpha I_{\mathscr{H}(b)}$. In particular, $X(D) = WX(d)W^* = X(d)$ so that $B^D b(w)^* = B^d b(w)^*$ and $B^D = B^d$. Equivalently,

$$D^*K_0^b = d^*K_0^b,$$

a contradiction. □

**Claim 3.14.** *If $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathbb{C})$, $d \geq 3$, obeys $b(0) = 0$, $V^b$ is not a co-isometry and $\dim(\mathscr{H}(b)) \geq d+2$, then $\dim\left(\mathrm{Ker}(V^b)\right) > \dim\left(\mathrm{Ran}\left(V^b\right)^{\perp}\right) = 1$.*

To construct an example of a Schur class $b$ satisfying the conditions of this claim, let $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathbb{C})$, $d \geq 3$ be any Schur class function obeying $b(0) = 0$ and such that $V^b$ is not a co-isometry. Such a Schur class function is called non quasi-extreme (see Section 4), and it is not difficult to apply Theorem 4.1 below to show, for example, that if $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathbb{C})$ is any Schur class function and $0 < r < 1$, $rb \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathbb{C})$ is non quasi-extreme. Moreover, $\mathscr{H}(rb)$ is infinite dimensional (this is just $H^2_d$ with a new norm since $\mathscr{H}(rb) = \mathrm{Ran}\left(\sqrt{I - r^2 M_b M_b^*}\right)$) so that $rb$ satisfies the above claim statement.

*Proof.* This is easily established using the argument in the proof of [14, Proposition 4.4]. □

In summary, the above claim, along with Corollary 3.13 and Corollary 3.7, imply that there exist c.n.c. row partial isometries which are not unitarily equivalent and yet have the same characteristic function. This shows that the characteristic function is not a complete unitary invariant. It should be possible to refine this example in several ways to show that the map $X \mapsto b_X$ from c.n.c. row partial isometries $X$ onto their characteristic functions is not injective for a much larger class of characteristic functions $b_X$, but we will not pursue this further here.

Given any c.n.c. row partial isometry $X$, one can also define the Sz.-Nagy-Foiaş characteristic function of $X$ as in [11] (this reference defined the Sz.-Nagy-Foiaş characteristic function for row contractions with commuting component operators):

$$\Theta_X(z) := P^{\perp}_{\mathrm{Ran}(X)}(I - zX^*)^{-1} z P_{\mathrm{Ker}(X)}.$$

By our above results $X$ is unitarily equivalent to an extremal contractive Gleason solution in $\mathscr{H}(b_X)$, and without loss of generality we can assume $X$ is such a Gleason solution.

**Proposition 3.15.** *Suppose that $X = X^b$ is an extremal contractive Gleason solution for a Schur class $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ with $b(0) = 0$. Then the characteristic function $b$ of $X$ coincides weakly with the Sz.-Nagy-Foiaş characteristic function for $X$.*

**Remark 3.16.** The characteristic function of a commuting c.n.c. row contraction $X$ is a complete unitary invariant [12, Theorem 3.6] while Example 3.10 above shows that this is no longer true for general c.n.c. row contractions.

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.7, the characteristic function of $X$ is $b$. The superscript $b$ is omitted below.

Applying the identity (1.4) and using that $b(0) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_X(z)^* &= P_{\mathrm{Ker}(X)} z^*(I - Xz^*)^{-1} k_0 k_0^* \\
&= (I - X^*X) z^* k_z k_0^* \\
&= z^* k_z k_0^* - X^* \left( X z^* (I - Xz^*)^{-1} k_0 \right) k_0^* \\
&= z^* k_z k_0^* - X^* (k_z - k_0) k_0^* \\
&= z^* k_z k_0 - (z^* k_z - Bb(z)^* - Bb(0)^*) k_0^* \\
&= Bb(z)^* k_0^*.
\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\Theta_X(z) = k_0 b(z) B^*.$$

Since $b(0) = 0$ and $b$ is q.e. it follows that $B^*B = I_{\mathcal{H}_1}$, and also $k_0^* k_0 = I_{\mathcal{H}_2}$. Hence,

$$b(z) = k_0^* \Theta_X(z) B \simeq \Theta_X(z),$$

*i.e.*, $b$ coincides weakly with $\Theta_X$. □

In order to obtain more precise results and to extend our model to more general row contractions, the remainder of the paper will focus on the sub-class of c.n.c. row partial isometries $X$ (and their contractive extensions) whose characteristic functions $b_X$ have the property that $\mathscr{H}(b_X)$ has a unique contractive Gleason solution.

## 4. Quasi-extreme Schur class functions

The following result characterizes the sub-class of all Schur class $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ with the property that $\mathscr{H}(b)$ has a unique contractive Gleason solution (see [14, Theorem 6.1] for the case where $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}$, it is not difficult to extend this to the rectangular case).

**Theorem 4.1.** *Given any $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$, the following are equivalent:*

1. *$\bigcap_{z \in B^d} \mathrm{Ker}(b(z)) = \{0\}$ (*i.e.* $b$ has no zero columns, $\mathrm{supp}(b) = \mathcal{H}_1$) and $\mathscr{H}(b)$ has a unique contractive Gleason solution $X^b$ (which is also extremal).*
2. *$b$ has a unique contractive Gleason solution $B \in L(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathscr{H}(b) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d)$ and this solution is extremal.*
3. *There is no non-zero $h \in \mathcal{H}_1$ so that $bh \in \mathscr{H}(b)$.*

*If $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2$ so that $b$ is square then the above three conditions are equivalent to*

1. *The row partial isometry $V^b$ on $L(b)$ is a co-isometry.*

*(2) $L(b)$ contains no constant functions.*

**Definition 4.2.** Following [14], $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ is said to be *quasi-extreme* (q.e.) if it obeys any one of the above equivalent conditions.

**Remark 4.3.** The motivation for the term *quasi-extreme* is that there is a relationship between quasi-extreme points $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ and extreme points of this convex set. In the single-variable, scalar-valued case these two concepts are equivalent, and it has recently been shown that in the several-variable, scalar-valued setting quasi-extreme implies extreme [15].

## 5. Models for Quasi-extreme row partial isometries

In this section we refine our results for models of c.n.c. row partial isometries $X$ under the additional assumption that the characteristic function $b_X$ is quasi-extreme.

**Definition 5.1.** A c.n.c row partial isometry $X : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathcal{H}$ is *quasi-extreme* (q.e.) if $b_X \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{J}_\infty, \mathcal{J}_0)$ coincides weakly with some quasi-extreme $\check{b}_X \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$. Such a q.e. row partial isometry $X$ is said to be *square* if $b_X$ coincides weakly with a square q.e. $\check{b}_X \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$.

Note that if $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ coincides weakly with a quasi-extreme $\check{b} \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1', \mathcal{H}_2')$, then by Theorem 4.1, $\check{b}$ has no zero columns so that $\text{supp}(\check{b}) = \mathcal{H}_1'$. It follows that $\check{b}$ coincides with $b|_{\text{supp}(b)}$ so that $\dim(\mathcal{H}_1') \leq \dim(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $\dim(\mathcal{H}_2') = \dim(\mathcal{H}_2)$.

The unique contractive Gleason solution $X^b$ for any quasi-extreme $b \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ with $b(0) = 0$ provides a large class of examples of q.e. row partial isometries:

**Corollary 5.2.** *The characteristic function $b_X$ of a q.e. row partial isometry $X$ is a complete unitary invariant: Given any two q.e. row partial isometries $X, Y$, $X \simeq Y$ if and only if $b_X$ and $b_Y$ coincide weakly.*

*Given any q.e. $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ such that $b(0) = 0$, $X^b$ is a q.e. row partial isometry with characteristic function $b$. It follows that given any q.e. row partial isometry $X$ with characteristic function $b_X$ and $b := b_X|_{\text{supp}(b_X)}$, $X$ is unitarily equivalent to $X^b$, the unique contractive (and extremal) Gleason solution for $\mathscr{H}(b) = \mathscr{H}(b_X)$.*

In the above $X \simeq Y$ denotes unitary equivalence.

*Proof.* This is a special case of Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.7 under the additional assumption that $X$ is quasi-extreme. □

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let $X$ be a c.n.c. row partial isometry with characteristic function $b := b_X$ and let $X^b$ be an extremal contractive Gleason solution for $\mathscr{H}(b)$. Then $X$ is q.e. if and only if the initial*

*space of $X^b$ is:*

$$\mathrm{Ker}(X^b)^\perp = \{\mathbf{h} \in \mathscr{H}(b) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d |\ z\mathbf{h}(z) = g(z) \text{ for some } g \in \mathscr{H}(b) \text{ and } \|g\| = \|\mathbf{h}\|\}.$$

Recall that by Proposition 3.2, $\mathrm{Ker}(X^{b_X})^\perp$ is a subset of the above set for any c.n.c. row partial isometry $X$.

*Proof.* Set $b := b_X|_{\mathrm{supp}(b_X)}$. Then $\mathscr{H}(b) = \mathscr{H}(b_X)$, and by definition $X$ is q.e. if $b$ is q.e. By Theorem 4.1 this happens if and only if there is no $h \in \mathrm{supp}(b_X) \subset \mathcal{J}_\infty$ so that $bh = b_X h \in \mathscr{H}(b)$. Now $b_X(z) := D(z)^{-1} z N(z)$, and by Theorem 3.3, multiplication by $D(z)$ is an isometric multiplier onto $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$. So $b_X h \in \mathscr{H}(b)$ if and only if there is a $\hat{g} \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}$ such that $zN(z)h = \hat{g}$. By definition again, $N(z) = (\Gamma(z)^* \otimes I_d)\mathbf{j}_\infty$. Recall that $\mathbf{j}_\infty : \mathcal{J}_\infty \to \mathrm{Ker}(X)$ is an onto isometry. It follows that $b$ is not q.e. if and only if there is a non-zero $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{j}_\infty h \in \mathrm{Ker}(X)$ so that

$$z\hat{\mathbf{h}}(z) = \hat{g}(z) \in \hat{\mathcal{H}},$$

for some non-zero $\hat{g}$. The fact that $\hat{g}$ must be non-zero is a consequence of our non-vanishing column condition: If $h \in \mathrm{supp}(b_X)$ is non-zero, then $\hat{g}(z) = zN(z)h$ cannot vanish identically as then $b_X(z)h = D(z)^{-1} z N(z) h \equiv 0$ and $h \notin \mathrm{supp}(b_X)$.

By Proposition 3.2,

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\hat{X})^\perp \subseteq \{\hat{\mathbf{h}} \in \hat{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d |\ z\hat{\mathbf{h}}(z) = \hat{g}(z) \text{ for some } \hat{g} \in \hat{\mathcal{H}} \text{ and } \|\hat{g}\| = \|\hat{\mathbf{h}}\|\},$$

and it remains to show that the reverse inclusion holds if and only if $b$ is q.e.

Suppose that $\hat{\mathbf{h}} \in \hat{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ belongs to the set on the right in the above expression. Then decompose $\hat{\mathbf{h}} = \hat{\mathbf{h}}_1 + \hat{\mathbf{h}}_2$ where $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_1 \in \mathrm{Ker}(\hat{X})^\perp$ and $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_2 \in \mathrm{Ker}(\hat{X})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} z\hat{\mathbf{h}}_2(z) &= z\hat{\mathbf{h}}(z) - z\hat{\mathbf{h}}_1(z) \\ &= (\hat{g} - \hat{X}\hat{\mathbf{h}}_1)(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{g} - \hat{X}\hat{\mathbf{h}}_1 \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}$. If $b$ is q.e. the above analysis implies that $z\hat{\mathbf{h}}_2(z) \equiv 0$ so that $\hat{g} = \hat{X}\hat{\mathbf{h}}_1$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\mathbf{h}}_1\|^2 + \|\hat{\mathbf{h}}_2\|^2 &= \|\hat{\mathbf{h}}\|^2 \\ &= \|\hat{g}\|^2 \\ &= \|\hat{X}\hat{\mathbf{h}}_1\|^2 \\ &= \|\hat{\mathbf{h}}_1\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_2 = 0$ and $\hat{\mathbf{h}} = \hat{\mathbf{h}}_1 \in \mathrm{Ker}(\hat{X})^\perp$.

Conversely given $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ with $b(0) = 0$, if $X^b$ is any contractive extremal Gleason solution for $\mathscr{H}(b)$ and its initial space has the above form then since $X^b$ is extremal its final space is always equal to

$$\mathrm{Ran}\left(X^b\right) = \{h \in \mathscr{H}(b) |\ h(0) = 0\}.$$

Since $b(0) = 0$, $X^b$ is a partial isometry, and by Proposition 3.2, $X^b$ acts as multiplication by $z$ on its initial space (which by assumption is fixed as in the theorem statement). It follows that $X^b$ is the unique contractive Gleason solution for $\mathscr{H}(b)$, so that $b := b_X|_{\mathrm{supp}(b_X)}$ is quasi-extreme by Theorem 4.1. □

5.4. **Square quasi-extreme row partial isometries.** A c.n.c. row partial isometry $X$ is said to be square if its characteristic function $b_X \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{J}_0, \mathcal{J}_\infty)$ coincides weakly with a square Schur class function $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$.

**Theorem 5.5.** *A c.n.c. row partial isometry $X$ on $\mathcal{H}$ is q.e. and square if and only if $X$ has a co-isometric extension $V_X$ on $\mathcal{H}$ so that*

$$\mathrm{Ker}(V_X)^\perp = \bigvee_{z\in\mathbb{B}^d} z^*(I - V_X z^*)^{-1}\mathrm{Ran}\,(X)^\perp = \bigvee_{z\in\mathbb{B}^d} z^*\mathcal{R}\,(X - z)^\perp =: \mathbf{S}_\infty. \tag{5.1}$$

*Proof.* If $X$ has such a co-isometric extension $V_X$, and $j_0 : \mathcal{J}_0 \to \mathrm{Ran}\,(X)^\perp$ is an arbitrary onto isometry then $\mathbf{j} := V_X^* j_0$ is an isometry of $\mathcal{J}_0$ onto $\mathrm{Ker}(V_X)^\perp \ominus \mathrm{Ker}(X)^\perp \subset \mathrm{Ker}(X)$. We are then free to choose $\mathcal{J}_\infty = \mathcal{J}_0 \oplus \mathcal{J}'_\infty$ with $\dim(\mathcal{J}'_\infty) = \dim(\mathrm{Ker}(V_X))$, $\mathbf{j}'_\infty : \mathcal{J}'_\infty \to \mathrm{Ker}(V_X)$ an arbitrary onto isometry and then $\mathbf{j}_\infty := V_X^* j_0 \oplus \mathbf{j}'_\infty = \mathbf{j} \oplus \mathbf{j}'_\infty$ is an isometry of $\mathcal{J}_\infty$ onto $\mathrm{Ker}(X)$.

Recall that any element in the coincidence class of the characteristic function $b_X$ can be defined using a choice of onto isometries $j_0 : \mathcal{J}_0 \to \mathrm{Ran}\,(X)^\perp$, $\mathbf{j}_\infty : \mathcal{J}_\infty \to \mathrm{Ker}(X)$ and linear isomorphisms $j_z : \mathcal{J}_0 \to \mathcal{R}\,(X - z)^\perp$ as $b_X(z) := d(z)^{-1} zn(z)$, $b_X \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{J}_\infty, \mathcal{J}_0)$ with $zn(z) := z(j_z^* \otimes I_d)\mathbf{j}_\infty$. It follows that with $\widetilde{n}(z) := zn(z)$, the support of $b_X$ in $\mathcal{J}_\infty$ is:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{supp}(b_X) &= \bigvee_{z\in\mathbb{B}^d} \mathrm{Ran}\,(b_X(z)^*) = \mathrm{supp}(\widetilde{n}) \\
&= \bigvee_{z\in\mathbb{B}^d} \mathrm{Ran}\,(\mathbf{j}_\infty^* z^* j_z) \\
&= \mathbf{j}_\infty^* \mathrm{Ker}(V_X)^\perp \\
&= \mathbf{j}_\infty^* (\mathrm{Ker}(V_X)^\perp \ominus \mathrm{Ker}(X)^\perp). \qquad (5.2)
\end{aligned}$$

If $\mathbf{j}_\infty$ is chosen to be $\mathbf{j}_\infty = V_X^* j_0 \oplus \mathbf{j}'_\infty$, with $\mathcal{J}_\infty = \mathcal{J}_0 \oplus \mathcal{J}'_\infty$ as above, it follows that

$$\operatorname{supp}(b_X) = \mathcal{J}_0,$$

so that if $\check{b}_X := b_X|_{\operatorname{supp}(b_X)}$ then $\check{b}_X \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{J}_0)$ is a square Schur class function which coincides weakly with $b_X$.

To see that $\check{b}_X$ is q.e. choose $j_0, \mathbf{j}_\infty = V_X^* j_0 \oplus \mathbf{j}'_\infty$ as above and consider the model map for $X$ given by

$$\Gamma(z) := (I - V_X z^*)^{-1} j_0.$$

We will show that the corresponding model space $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma)$ is equal to $\text{Ł}(\check{b}_X)$, the Herglotz space of the square Schur class function $\check{b}_X$, and that the image of $V_X$ under the canonical unitary transformation of $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is the canonical row partial isometry $V^{\check{b}_X}$ on the Herglotz space $\text{Ł}(\check{b}_X)$. Since $V_X$ is a co-isometry by assumption, Theorem 4.1 will imply that $\check{b}_X$ is quasi-extreme.

The reproducing kernel for $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is $\hat{K}(z,w) = \Gamma(z)^*\Gamma(w) \in \text{Ł}(\mathcal{J}_0)$. By Proposition 3.3, the reproducing kernel for $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ can be expressed as

$$(I - zw^*)\hat{K}(z,w) = D(z)D(w)^* - zN(z)N(w)w^*,$$

where recall that

$$D(z) := \Gamma(z)^*\Gamma(0) = \hat{K}(z,0),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
zN(z) &:= z(\Gamma(z)^* \otimes I_d)\mathbf{j}_\infty \\
&= z(j_0^*(I - zV_X^*)^{-1} \otimes I_d)V_X^* j_0 \oplus 0_{\mathcal{J}'_\infty} \\
&= j_0^*(zV_X)^*(I - zV_X^*)^{-1} j_0 \oplus 0_{\mathcal{J}'_\infty} \\
&= j_0^*\left((I - zV_X^*)^{-1} - I\right) j_0 \oplus 0_{\mathcal{J}'_\infty} \\
&= (\Gamma(z)^* - \Gamma(0)^*)\Gamma(0) \oplus 0_{\mathcal{J}'_\infty} \\
&= \left(\hat{K}(z,0) - \hat{K}(0,0)\right) \oplus 0_{\mathcal{J}'_\infty} \\
&= \left(\hat{K}(z,0) - I_{\mathcal{J}_0}\right) \oplus 0_{\mathcal{J}'_\infty}.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall here that $\hat{K}(0,0) = j_0^* j_0 = I_{\mathcal{J}_0}$. This also shows that $zN(z) = (D(z) - I_{\mathcal{J}_0}) \oplus 0_{\mathcal{J}'_\infty}$ in this case so that

$$\check{b}_X(z) := D(z)^{-1} zN(z)|_{\mathcal{J}_0} = I - D(z)^{-1}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}(I - zw^*)\hat{K}(z,w) &= \hat{K}(z,0)\hat{K}(0,w) - (\hat{K}(z,0) - I)(\hat{K}(0,w) - I) \\ &= \hat{K}(z,0) + \hat{K}(0,w) + I.\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$H(z) := 2\hat{K}(z,0) - I,$$

then it follows that

$$\hat{K}(z,w) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{H(z) + H(w)^*}{1 - zw^*},$$

so that $H$ is a Herglotz-Schur function. Further observe that

$$\begin{aligned}H_{\check{b}_X} &:= (I - \check{b}_X)^{-1}(I + \check{b}_X) \\ &= D(2I - D^{-1}) \\ &= 2D - I \\ &= H,\end{aligned}$$

so that $\hat{K} = K^{\check{b}_X}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = Ł(\check{b}_X)$.

Since $\hat{U}\Gamma(z) = \hat{K}_z = K_z^{\check{b}_X}$, if we define $\hat{V} := \hat{U}V_X\hat{U}^*$, then by assumption,

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\hat{V})^\perp = \bigvee_{z\in\mathbb{B}^d} \hat{U}z^*\Gamma(z)\mathcal{J}_0 = \bigvee_{z\in\mathbb{B}^d} z^*\hat{K}_z\mathcal{J}_0.$$

Moreover it is easy to check that

$$\hat{V}z^*\hat{K}_z = \hat{K}_z - \hat{K}_0,$$

so that $\hat{V} = V^{\check{b}_X}$. This is a co-isometry so that $\check{b}_X$ is q.e. by Theorem 4.1.

Conversely if $b_X$ is square and q.e. then $X \simeq X^b$ where $b = b_X$ and $X^b$ is the unique contractive Gleason solution for $\mathscr{H}(b)$. Recall that necessarily $b_X(0) = 0$ and that in this case, as shown in Example 3.10, $X^b \simeq \mathcal{Z}^b$, a c.n.c. row partial isometric restriction of $V^b$ acting on the Herglotz space $Ł(b)$. In this case $V^b$ is (unitarily equivalent to) the desired co-isometric extension. □

**Remark 5.6.** Let $X$ be a square q.e. row partial isometry with co-isometric extension $V_X \supseteq X$ obeying the key property (5.1) of the above theorem. Then given any onto isometries $j_0 : \mathcal{J}_0 \to \mathrm{Ran}\,(X)^\perp$, $\mathbf{j} : \mathcal{J}_0 \to \mathrm{Ker}(V_X)^\perp \ominus \mathrm{Ker}(X)^\perp$, and linear isomorphisms $j_z : \mathcal{J}_0 \to \mathcal{R}\,(X - z)^\perp$ for each $z \in \mathbb{B}^d$, the proof of the theorem above shows that one can define a Schur class function $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{J}_0)$

by

$$D(z) := j_z^* j_0, \qquad N(z) := (j_z^* \otimes I_d)\mathbf{j}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad b(z) := D(z)^{-1} z N(z),$$

and that $b = b_X$ is a square representative Schur class function in the weak coincidence class of characteristic functions for $X$.

**Remark 5.7.** In the single variable case, if $X$ is a partial isometry with equal defect indices $(n, n)$,

$$\dim\left(\mathrm{Ran}\,(X)^\perp\right) =: n_- = n = n_+ := \dim\left(\mathrm{Ker}(X)\right),$$

and the characteristic function $b_X$ of $X$ always belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and is square. Moreover, any such an $X$ has a unitary extension, and it follows that in this case where $d = 1$ and $X$ has equal defect indices, $X$ is q.e. if and only if $X$ is completely non-coisometric.

**Example 5.8.** Let $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ be q.e. and square. In this case the row partial isometry $V^b$ on the Herglotz space $\text{Ł}(b)$ is a co-isometry by Theorem 4.1. As in Example 3.10 and Claim 3.11, we can define a row partial isometry on the Herglotz space by

$$\mathcal{Z}^b := (I - K_0^b K^b(0,0)^{-1}(K_0^b)^*)V^b.$$

By Claim 3.11, if $U_b : \mathscr{H}(b) \to \text{Ł}(b)$ is the canonical isometric onto multiplier and if $b(0) = 0$, $Z^b := U_b^* \mathcal{Z}^b U_b$ is the extremal (and unique) contractive Gleason solution for $\mathscr{H}(b)$.

If, however, $b(0) \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{Z}^b$ is still a q.e. row partial isometry on $\text{Ł}(b)$: First, by construction,

$$\mathrm{Ran}\left(\mathcal{Z}^b\right)^\perp = \bigvee K_0^b \mathcal{H}.$$

Then since $V^b \supseteq \mathcal{Z}^b$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigvee_{z\in\mathbb{B}^d} \mathcal{R}\left(\mathcal{Z}^b - z\right)^\perp &= \bigvee_{z\in\mathbb{B}^d} (I - V^b z^*)^{-1} \mathrm{Ran}\left(\mathcal{Z}^b\right)^\perp \\
&= \bigvee_{z\in\mathbb{B}^d} (I - V^b z^*)^{-1} K_0^b \mathcal{H} \\
&= \bigvee_{z\in\mathbb{B}^d} K_z^b \mathcal{H} \\
&= \text{Ł}(b),
\end{aligned}$$

proving that $\mathcal{Z}^b$ is completely non-coisometric. Finally, since $V^b$ is a coisometric extension of $Z^b$ with the required property (5.1), Theorem 5.5 above implies that $\mathcal{Z}^b$ is both quasi-extreme and that it has a square q.e. characteristic function $a$.

Observe that $a$ cannot coincide weakly with $b$ if $b(0) \neq 0$, since the characteristic function of a c.n.c. row partial isometry always vanishes at 0. To compute $a$, let

$$j_0 := K_0^b \sqrt{K^b(0,0)}^{-1} : \mathcal{H} \to \operatorname{Ran}\left(\mathcal{Z}^b\right)^\perp,$$

an onto isometry,

$$\mathbf{j} := (V^b)^* j_0,$$

and use the model

$$\Gamma(z) = j_z := (I - V^b z^*)^{-1} j_0 = K_z^b \sqrt{K^b(0,0)}^{-1}.$$

Set $\beta := b(0)$ and $H_\beta := H_b(0)$. Then, $b_0(z) = D(z)^{-1} z N(z)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} D(z) &= j_z^* j_0 = \sqrt{K^b(0,0)}^{-1} K^b(z,0) \sqrt{K^b(0,0)}^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\beta)}^{-1} (I-\beta)^{-1}(I - b(z)\beta^*)(I-\beta^*)^{-1} \sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\beta)}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} zN(z) &= z(j_z^* \otimes I_d)\mathbf{j} \\ &= j_0^*(z(V^b)^*)(I - z(V^b)^*)^{-1} j_0 \\ &= \sqrt{K^b(0,0)}^{-1} \left(K^b(z,0) - K^b(0,0)\right) \sqrt{K^b(0,0)}^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\beta)}^{-1} (I - b(z))^{-1}(b(z) - \beta)(I-\beta)^{-1} \sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\beta)}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The characteristic function $a$ of $\mathcal{Z}^b$ is then

$$\begin{aligned} a(z) &= D(z)^{-1} z N(z) \\ &= \sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\beta)}(I-\beta)^*(I - b(z)\beta^*)^{-1}(b(z)-\beta)(I-\beta)^{-1} \sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\beta)}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Observe that $a(0) = 0$, as required, and that in the single variable, scalar-valued case where $\beta \in \mathbb{D}$, this formula for $a$ is a *Frostman Shift* of $b$ vanishing at 0 [34, 35]. In the next section we will develop the general theory of Frostman shifts of square Schur class functions $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$.

Also note that Corollary 5.2 implies that $\mathcal{Z}^b$ and hence $Z^b$ are both unitarily equivalent to $X^a$, the unique contractive (and extremal) Gleason solution for $\mathscr{H}(a)$.

The goal of the remainder of the paper is to extend this model for square q.e. row partial isometries to strictly contractive extensions of such partial isometries:

**Definition 5.9.** Let $X$ be a square q.e. row partial isometry on $\mathcal{H}$. Let $V_X$ be a fixed co-isometric extension of $X$ obeying the condition (5.1) of Theorem 5.5. A contractive extension $T \supseteq X$, $T : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathcal{H}$ is said to be q.e. if

$$T^* \mathrm{Ran}\,(X)^\perp \subseteq V_X^* \mathrm{Ran}\,(X)^\perp .$$

Such an extension $T$ is said to be *strict* if $T - X$ is a strict contraction.

A row contraction $T$ is said to be a square q.e. row contraction if it is a contractive q.e. extension of some square q.e. row partial isometry $X$.

**Remark 5.10.** If $T_1, T_2$ are two square q.e. row contractions which are strict extensions of square q.e. row partial isometries $X_1, X_2$ respectively, $T_k = X_k - C_k$, with $C_k$ strict row contractions, then $UT_1U^* = T_2$ for an onto isometry $U$ if and only if both $UX_1U^* = X_2$ and $UC_1U^* = C_2$.

**Remark 5.11.** Recall that in the case where $d = 1$, any c.n.c. partial isometry $X$ on $\mathcal{H}$ with equal defect indices is q.e. and square. It follows that in this single variable case the above definition of q.e. row contraction includes the set of all c.n.c. contractions which are strictly contractive extensions of c.n.c. partial isometries with equal defect indices.

Our goal is to show that $T$ is a strict square q.e. row contraction if and only if $T \simeq X^b$ for some strictly contractive square quasi-extreme $b$, and that the characteristic function $b_T := b$ is a complete unitary invariant for this class of row contractions. This characteristic function will have the property that $T$ is a row partial isometry if and only if $b_T(0) = 0$, and if $T \supseteq X$ is a strict q.e. extension of a square q.e. row partial isometry $X$, $b_T$ will be a several variable analogue of a Frostman shift of $b_X$. For the remainder of this paper, since we focus on the square case, we will often omit the word square and simply refer to $T$ as a strict q.e. row contraction.

## 6. Frostman Shifts

In this section we show that given any square Schur class $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$, and any strict contraction $\alpha \in (\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{H}))_1$, that there is a Frostman shift, $b_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ of $b$ so that $b_\alpha(0) = \alpha$, $b_\alpha$ is related to $b$ in a canonical way, and there is an onto isometric multiplier $m_\alpha : \mathscr{H}(b) \to \mathscr{H}(b_\alpha)$.

Given any strictly contractive $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$, let $\alpha := b(0) \in (\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{H}))_1$ and define $b_0$ by

$$b_0 := \sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\,(H_\alpha)}(I - \alpha^*)(I - b(z)\alpha^*)^{-1}(b(z) - \alpha)(I - \alpha)^{-1}\sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\,(H_\alpha)}^{-1}, \tag{6.1}$$

where $H_\alpha := (I - \alpha)^{-1}(I + \alpha)$. In Example 5.8, it was shown that if $b$ is q.e., then $b_0$ is also Schur and quasi-extreme. We will now show that $b_0$ is a Schur class function in general whether or not $b$ is quasi-extreme. Since $\alpha$ is a strict contraction, $b_0$ is a well-defined operator-valued analytic function on $\mathbb{B}^d$ and $b_0(0) = 0 \in \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{H})$ by construction.

Now define

$$D(z) := K(z,0)K(0,0)^{-1/2}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad zN(z) := \left(K(z,0) - K(0,0)\right) K(0,0)^{-1/2},$$

where $K := K^b$ is the Herglotz kernel for $b$. Note that $K(0,0) = \mathrm{Re}\,(H_\alpha)$ where $H_\alpha := (I-\alpha)^{-1}(I+\alpha)$.

**Lemma 6.1.** *The analytic function $b_0$ is given by the formula $b_0 = D(z)^{-1}zN(z)$.*

*Proof.* Calculate:

$$D(z)^{-1}zN(z) = \sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\,(H_\alpha)}(I-\alpha^*)(I-b(z)\alpha^*)^{-1}(I-b(z))\frac{1}{2}(H_b(z)-H_b(0))\sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\,(H_\alpha)}^{-1},$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(H_b(z)-H_b(0)) = (I-b(z))^{-1}(b(z)-\alpha)(I-\alpha)^{-1},$$

and the formula follows. □

**Lemma 6.2.** *If $b$ is any Schur class function, then the Herglotz kernel $K = K^b$ obeys the identity:*

$$D(z)D(w)^* - zN(z)N(w)^*w^* = \frac{1}{2}(H(z)+H(w))^* = (I-zw^*)K(z,w),$$

*that is,*

$$\begin{aligned} & K(z,0)K(0,0)^{-1}K(0,w) - \left(K(z,0)-K(0,0)\right)K(0,0)^{-1}\left(K(0,w)-K(0,0)\right) \\ = \;& K(z,0)+K(0,w)-K(0,0). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* This is easily checked. □

**Proposition 6.3.** *If $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ then $b_0 \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$.*

*Proof.* First observe that

$$K(z,0) = (I-b(z))^{-1}(I-b(z)\alpha^*)(I-\alpha^*)^{-1},$$

is invertible since $\alpha$ is a strict contraction. It follows that $D(z)$ is invertible. By the above lemma, the positive kernel $K = K^b$ obeys:

$$\begin{aligned} D(z)^{-1}K(z,w)(D(w)^*)^{-1} &= D(z)^{-1}\left(\frac{D(z)D(w)^* - zN(z)N(w)^*w^*}{I-zw^*}\right)(D(w)^*)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{I-b_0(z)b_0(w)^*}{I-zw^*} =: k^{b_0}(z,w). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $k^{b_0}$ is also a positive kernel so that $b_0$ is Schur. □

Let us formally invert the expression (6.1) to obtain a formula for $b$ in terms s of $b_0$. If $b(0) = \alpha$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\alpha &:= (I-\alpha^*)^{-1}\sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\,(H_\alpha)}^{-1} b_0 \sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\,(H_\alpha)}(I-\alpha) \\ &= (I-b\alpha^*)^{-1}(b-\alpha), \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

and solve for $b$ in terms of $\beta_\alpha$:

$$b = (\beta_\alpha + \alpha)(I+\alpha^*\beta_\alpha)^{-1}. \tag{6.3}$$

Now suppose that $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ obeys $b(0) = 0$. Then given any $\alpha \in (\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{H}))_1$, define $b_\alpha$ by the above formulas. One should check that $(I+\alpha^*\beta_\alpha)$ is actually invertible so that this definition makes sense. First,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^*\beta_\alpha &= \alpha^*(I-\alpha^*)^{-1}\sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\,(H_\alpha)}^{-1} b \sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\,(H_\alpha)}(I-\alpha) \\ &= \alpha^* C^{-1} b D, \end{aligned}$$

where we define the invertible operators

$$C := \sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\,(H_\alpha)}(I-\alpha^*),$$

and

$$D := \sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\,(H_\alpha)}(I-\alpha).$$

The polar decompositions of these operators yields:

$$C = U\sqrt{C^*C} = U\sqrt{I-\alpha\alpha^*},$$

and

$$D = W\sqrt{D^*D} = W\sqrt{I-\alpha^*\alpha},$$

respectively. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I+\alpha^*\beta_\alpha &= I + \alpha^*\sqrt{I-\alpha\alpha^*}^{-1} U^* b W \sqrt{I-\alpha^*\alpha} \\ &= I + \sqrt{I-\alpha^*\alpha}^{-1}\alpha^* U^* b W \sqrt{I-\alpha^*\alpha} \\ &= \sqrt{I-\alpha^*\alpha}^{-1}\left(I+\alpha^* U^* b W\right)\sqrt{I-\alpha^*\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

which is invertible for any $\alpha \in (\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{H}))_1$. We have proven:

**Lemma 6.4.** *Given any $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ such that $b(0) = 0$ and any $\alpha \in (L(\mathcal{H}))_1$, $b_\alpha$ is a well-defined operator-valued analytic function on $\mathbb{B}^d$, and we have that*

$$b = (b_\alpha)_0 = \sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\,(H_\alpha)}(I - \alpha^*)(I - b_\alpha\alpha^*)^{-1}(b_\alpha - \alpha)(I - \alpha)^{-1}\sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\,(H_\alpha)}^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* The first part was proven above, the second part results from solving the formula of $b_\alpha$ for $b$. □

Now define the function:

$$H_{b_\alpha} := (I - b_\alpha)^{-1}(I + b_\alpha).$$

We will prove that $H_{b_\alpha}$ belongs to the Herglotz-Schur class $\mathcal{S}_d^+(\mathcal{H})$, and it will necessarily follow that $b_\alpha$ is in the Schur class. First let's check as before that $H_{b_\alpha}$ is well-defined. That is, we need to check that $(I - b_\alpha(z))$ is invertible for any $z \in \mathbb{B}^d$:

$$I - b_\alpha(z) = (I + \alpha^*\beta_\alpha(z) - \beta_\alpha(z) - \alpha)\,(I + \alpha^*\beta_\alpha(z))^{-1}.$$

We have already shown that $(I + \alpha^*\beta_\alpha(z))$ is invertible, so we just need to show that the first bracketed expression is invertible:

$$\begin{aligned}(I - \alpha) - (I - \alpha^*)\beta_\alpha(z) &= \left(I - (I - \alpha^*)\beta_\alpha(z)(I - \alpha)^{-1}\right)(I - \alpha)\\ &= \left(I - \sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\,(H_\alpha)}^{-1} b(z)\sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\,(H_\alpha)}\right)(I - \alpha)\\ &= \sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\,(H_\alpha)}^{-1}(I - b(z))\sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\,(H_\alpha)}(I - \alpha),\end{aligned}$$

which is indeed invertible for any $z \in \mathbb{B}^d$.

**Proposition 6.5.** *If $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ obeys $b(0) = 0$, then given any $\alpha \in (L(\mathcal{H}))_1$, the $b_\alpha$ defined above is also Schur.*

*Proof.* Define the kernel function:

$$K^\alpha(z, w) := \frac{1}{2}\frac{H_{b_\alpha}(z) + H_{b_\alpha}(w)^*}{1 - zw^*}.$$

We need to show this is a positive kernel function. First define

$$D(z) := K^\alpha(z, 0)K^\alpha(0, 0)^{-1/2},$$

and

$$zN(z) := (K^\alpha(z, 0) - K^\alpha(0, 0))K^\alpha(0, 0)^{-1/2},$$

where observe that $K^\alpha(0,0) = \mathrm{Re}\,(H_\alpha)$. Then compute that

$$K^\alpha(z,0) = (1-b_\alpha)^{-1}(I - b_\alpha\alpha^*)(I-\alpha^*)^{-1},$$

while

$$K^\alpha(z,0) - K^\alpha(0,0) = (I-b_\alpha)^{-1}(b_\alpha - \alpha)(I-\alpha)^{-1}.$$

By construction, $D(z)$ will be invertible if and only if $K^\alpha(z,0)$ is invertible. To prove this, first observe that we can re-express

$$K^\alpha(z,0) = (I-\alpha^*)^{-1}(I-\alpha^* b_\alpha(z))(I-b_\alpha(z))^{-1},$$

so that $K^\alpha(z,0)$ is invertible if and only if $(I-\alpha^* b_\alpha(z))$ is invertible. By definition of $b_\alpha$,

$$I - \alpha^* b_\alpha(z) = (I-\alpha^*\alpha)(I+\alpha^*\beta_\alpha(z))^{-1},$$

and this is clearly invertible.

By the formula from Lemma 6.4 it follows that

$$D(z)^{-1} z N(z) = b(z).$$

We claim that

$$\begin{aligned} K^\alpha(z,w) &= \frac{D(z)D(w)^* - zN(z)N(w)^*w^*}{1-zw^*} \\ &= D(z)^{-1}k^b(z,w)(D(w)^*)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The second line is clear, to prove the first, and the first will follow if it can be verified that

$$\begin{aligned} D(z)D(w)^* - zN(z)N(w)^*w^* &= \frac{1}{2}(H_{b_\alpha}(z) + H_{b_\alpha}(w)^*) \\ &= K^\alpha(z,0) + K^\alpha(0,w) - K^\alpha(0,0). \end{aligned}$$

So check:

$$\begin{aligned} zN(z)N(w)^*w^* &= \left(K^\alpha(z,0) - K^\alpha(0,0)\right) K^\alpha(0,0)^{-1} \left(K^\alpha(0,w) - K^\alpha(0,0)\right) \\ &= \left(K^\alpha(z,0)K^\alpha(0,0)^{-1} - I\right)\left(K^\alpha(0,w) - K^\alpha(0,0)\right) \\ &= K^\alpha(z,0)K^\alpha(0,0)^{-1}K^\alpha(0,w) - K^\alpha(z,0) - K^\alpha(0,w) + K^\alpha(0,0), \end{aligned}$$

while

$$D(z)D(w)^* = K^\alpha(z,0)K^\alpha(0,0)^{-1}K^\alpha(0,w),$$

so that

$$D(z)D(w)^* - zN(z)N(w)^*w^* = K^\alpha(z,0) + K^\alpha(0,w) - K^\alpha(0,0),$$

as claimed. Since $b$ is assumed to be Schur, $k^b$ is a positive kernel function and

$$K^\alpha(z,w) = D(z)^{-1}k^b(z,w)(D(w)^*)^{-1},$$

is also a positive kernel function. It follows that $H_{b_\alpha}$ is a Herglotz-Schur function, and hence that $b_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$. □

**Remark 6.6.** By construction, $(b_0)_{b(0)} = b$, and if $b(0) = 0$ then $(b_\alpha)_0 = b$. Given any $\alpha \in (\text{Ł}(\mathcal{H}))_1$, and any $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$, define

$$b_\alpha := (b_0)_\alpha.$$

The function $b_\alpha$ is called the $\alpha$-Frostman shift of $b$ and obeys $b_\alpha(0) = \alpha$. Later in Remark 7.5 and Definition 7.6, it will be convenient to slightly modify this definition of Frostman shift.

**Corollary 6.7.** *Suppose that $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ obeys $b(0) = 0$. The isometric multiplier from $\mathscr{H}(b)$ onto $\mathscr{H}(b_\alpha)$ is*

$$m_\alpha(z) = k^{b_\alpha}(z,0)(I-\alpha^*)^{-1}\sqrt{\text{Re}\,(H_\alpha)}^{-1}.$$

In the classical ($d = 1$, $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}$) setting, the multiplier $m_\alpha$ is called a *Crofoot transform* [35].

It is natural to expect that if $b$ is quasi-extreme, then so is $b_\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in (\text{Ł}(\mathcal{H}))_1$. This is true as we will shortly prove. First we determine a relationship between Gleason solutions for $b$ and Gleason solutions for $b_\alpha$.

**Proposition 6.8.** *Suppose that $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ obeys $b(0) = 0$. Then given any $\alpha \in (L(\mathcal{H}))_1$, and any unitary $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$, $A$ is a contractive Gleason solution for the Schur class function $(bU^*)_\alpha$ if and only if*

$$B := (m^* \otimes I)A(I-\alpha)^{-1}\sqrt{\text{Re}\,(H_\alpha)}^{-1}U,$$

*is a contractive Gleason solution for $b$. The contractive Gleason solution $A$ is extremal if and only if $B$ is extremal. Here the Crofoot transform, $m : \mathscr{H}(bU^*) = \mathscr{H}(b) \to \mathscr{H}((bU^*)_\alpha)$, is the canonical isometric multiplier.*

*Proof.* Let $A$ be a contractive Gleason solution for $(bU^*)_\alpha$. Then $B$ as defined above maps $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathscr{H}(b)\otimes\mathbb{C}^d$ as required. Moreover since $m:\mathscr{H}(b)\to\mathscr{H}((bU^*)_\alpha)$ is an onto isometric multiplier by a function $m(z)$, $m^*$ is an onto isometric multiplier of $\mathscr{H}((bU^*)_\alpha)$ onto $\mathscr{H}(b)$ which acts as multiplication by the function $m(z)^{-1}$. By the previous corollary,

$$\begin{aligned} zB(z) &= m(z)^{-1}zA(z)(I-\alpha)^{-1}\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\alpha)}^{-1}U \\ &= \sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\alpha)}(I-\alpha^*)k^{(bU^*)_\alpha}(z,0)((bU^*)_\alpha(z)-\alpha)(I-\alpha)^{-1}\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\alpha)}^{-1}U \\ &= ((bU^*)_\alpha(z))_0\,U \\ &= b(z)U^*U=b(z). \end{aligned}$$

Contractivity of $B$ also follows from contractivity of $A$,

$$A^*A\le I-(bU^*)_\alpha(0)^*(bU^*)_\alpha(0)=I-\alpha^*\alpha,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B^*B &= U^*\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\alpha)}^{-1}(I-\alpha^*)^{-1}A^*mm*A(I-\alpha)^{-1}\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\alpha)}^{-1}U \\ &\le U^*\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\alpha)}^{-1}(I-\alpha^*)^{-1}(I-\alpha\alpha^*)(I-\alpha)^{-1}\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\alpha)}^{-1}U \\ &= U^*\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\alpha)}^{-1}\operatorname{Re}(H_\alpha)\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\alpha)}^{-1}U \\ &= I, \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

which is what we want since $b(0)=0$. If $A$ is extremal equality holds in the above and $B$ is then extremal as well.

The converse follows similarly: Let $B$ be any contractive Gleason solution for $b$ where $b(0)=0$. Invert the above expression to define

$$A:=(m\otimes I)BU^*\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\alpha)}(I-\alpha).$$

Let $a:=(bU^*)_\alpha$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} zA(z) &= m(z)zB(z)U^*\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\alpha)}(I-\alpha) \\ &= (I-a(z)\alpha^*)(I-\alpha^*)^{-1}\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\alpha)}^{-1}b(z)U^*\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\alpha)}(I-\alpha) \\ &= (I-a(z)\alpha^*)(I-\alpha^*)^{-1}\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\alpha)}^{-1}a_0(z)\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\alpha)}(I-\alpha) \\ &= (I-a(z)\alpha^*)(I-\alpha^*)^{-1}\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\alpha)}^{-1}\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\alpha)}(I-\alpha^*) \\ &\times\ (I-a(z)\alpha^*)^{-1}(a(z)-\alpha)(I-\alpha)^{-1}\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\alpha)}^{-1}\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(H_\alpha)}(I-\alpha) \\ &= a(z)-\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Contractivity also follows as before:

$$\begin{aligned} A^*A &= (I-\alpha^*)\sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\,(H_\alpha)}UB^*(m^*\otimes I)(m\otimes I)BU^*\sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\,(H_\alpha)}(I-\alpha) \\ &\leq (I-\alpha^*)\mathrm{Re}\,(H_\alpha)\,(I-\alpha) \\ &= I-\alpha^*\alpha \\ &= I-a(0)^*a(0), \end{aligned}$$

with equality holding if $B$ is extremal. □

**Corollary 6.9.** *A Schur class function $b\in\mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ is quasi-extreme if and only if for any $\alpha\in(\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H}))_1$ and any unitary $U\in\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$, the Frostman shift $(bU^*)_\alpha$ is quasi-extreme.*

## 7. Gleason model for square quasi-extreme row contractions

Suppose that $X:\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathbb{C}^d\to\mathcal{H}$ is a square q.e. row partial isometry with q.e. characteristic function $b_X\in\mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{J})$. Assume throughout that $T\supseteq X$ is a strict q.e. contractive extension of $X$. Then necessarily,

$$T=X-C,$$

where

$$C^T=C:\mathrm{Ker}(T)\to\mathrm{Ran}\,(T)^\perp,$$

is a strict row contraction which we call the *row defect*.

Given a square q.e. row partial isometry $X$, let $V_X\supseteq X$ be a fixed co-isometric extension obeying the key property (5.1) of Theorem 5.5, namely,

$$\mathrm{Ker}(V_X)^\perp=\bigvee_{z\in\mathbb{B}^d}z^*(I-V_Xz^*)^{-1}\mathrm{Ran}\,(X)^\perp.$$

It is assumed throughout this section that $V_X$ is a fixed co-isometry with this property. As in Remark 5.6, let $j_0:\mathcal{J}\to\mathrm{Ran}\,(X)^\perp$ be any onto isometry and $\mathbf{j}:=V_X^*j_0$, an isometry onto $\mathrm{Ker}(V_X)^\perp\ominus\mathrm{Ker}(X)^\perp$. Recall that by the definition of a q.e. extension, if $T\supseteq X$ is such an extension with $T=X-C^T$, then

$$\mathrm{Ker}(C^T)^\perp\subseteq\mathrm{Ker}(V_X)^\perp\ominus\mathrm{Ker}(X)^\perp=\mathrm{Ran}\,(\mathbf{j}).$$

**Definition 7.1.** Let $T=X-C^T$ be a strict q.e. extension of the q.e. partial $d$-isometry $X$ where $C^T:\mathrm{Ker}(X)\to\mathrm{Ran}\,(X)^\perp$ is the row defect contraction. Define the *defect contraction*

$$\delta_T:=j_0^*C^T\mathbf{j}\in(\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{J}))_1,$$

where $j_0 : \mathcal{J} \to \mathrm{Ran}\,(X)^\perp$, $\mathbf{j} := V_X^* j_0$. The defect contraction $\delta_T$ is a strict contraction.

This definition depends on the choice of isometries $j_0, \mathbf{j}$. If one defines defect contractions $\delta_T, \delta'_T$ using two different pairs of such isometries, then $\delta_T$ and $\delta'_T$ coincide, $\delta_T = R\delta'_T Q$ for fixed unitaries $R, Q \in \text{Ł}(\mathcal{J})$ (one can change the co-isometry $V_X$ used to define $\mathbf{j}$ as well as $j_0$ so there are two free unitary parameters). Since $j_0^*$ is an isometry from $\mathrm{Ran}\,(X)^\perp \supset \mathrm{Ran}\left(C^T\right)$ into $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ is an isometry of $\mathcal{J}$ onto $V^*\mathrm{Ran}\,(X)^\perp \supseteq \mathrm{Ker}(C^T)^\perp$, the row defect $C^T$, and hence $T$ are uniquely determined by the strict defect contraction $\delta_T \in (\text{Ł}(\mathcal{J}))_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} T &= X - C \\ &= X - P^\perp_{\mathrm{Ran}(X)} C P_{\mathbf{S}_\infty \ominus \mathrm{Ker}(X)^\perp} \\ &= X - j_0 j_0^* C \mathbf{j}\mathbf{j}^* \\ &= X - j_0 \delta_T \mathbf{j}^*, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$C^T = j_0 \delta_T \mathbf{j}^*.$$

In summary:

**Lemma 7.2.** *Two q.e. extensions $T_1, T_2$ of the same square q.e. row partial isometry $X$ are equal, $T_1 = T_2$, if and only if their defect operators (defined using a fixed choice of maps $j_0, \mathbf{j}$) are equal, $\delta_{T_1} = \delta_{T_2}$.*

Suppose that $X = X^b$, where $b$ is q.e. and square and $b(0) = 0$ so that $X$ is a square q.e. row partial isometry. Further assume that $T = X - C$ is a strict q.e. extension of $X$, where $C : \mathrm{Ker}(X) \to \mathrm{Ran}\,(X)^\perp = \bigvee k_0^b \mathcal{J}$. In this case we can choose $V_X = U_b^* V^b U_b$, where $V^b$ is the canonical co-isometry on the Herglotz space Ł$(b)$ and $U_b : \mathscr{H}(b) \to \text{Ł}(b)$ is the canonical onto isometric multiplier. We can then define the isometries $j_0 := k_0^b$ and $\mathbf{j}_\infty := (V^b)^* j_0$. In this case the formula for the defect operaor $\delta_T$ becomes

$$\delta_T = (k_0^b)^* C^T U_b^* V^* U_b k_0^b.$$

Since $b(0) = 0$, the unique contractive Gleason solution for $b$ is

$$B = U_b^* V^* U_b k_0^b,$$

and so it follows that

$$\delta_T = (k_0^b)^* C^T B, \qquad \text{and} \qquad C^T = k_0^b \delta_T B^*. \tag{7.1}$$

In this case $k_0^b(k_0^b)^*$ is the projector onto $\mathrm{Ran}\,(X)^\perp$ while $BB^*$ projects onto $U_b^*(V^b)^*U_b\mathrm{Ran}\,(X)^\perp$. Since $b(0)=0$, $B^*B=I$, $B$ is an isometry.

Recall from Example 5.8 that given any square q.e. $b\in\mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$, one can define a square q.e. row partial isometry $Z^b$ on $\mathscr{H}(b)$ with characteristic function $b_0$ as the image of $\mathcal{Z}^b:=(I-K_0^bK^b(0,0)^{-1}(K_0^b)^*)V^b$ under the canonical unitary multiplier $U_b^*:\text{Ł}(b)\to\mathscr{H}(b)$. Recall that $Z^b=X^b$, the unique extremal contractive Gleason solution for $\mathscr{H}(b)$ if and only if $b(0)=0$.

**Proposition 7.3.** *If $b\in\mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ is quasi-extreme then the unique contractive Gleason solution $X^b$ is a strict q.e. extension of $Z^b$.*

*Proof.* By the Clark-type intertwining formulas, [14, Section 5.16],

$$U^*V^*U=X^*+B(I-b(0))^{-1}k_0^*,$$

where $B$ is the (unique) contractive Gleason solution for $b$,$U=U_b$, $V=V^b$ and $X=X^b$. Here we are omitting all occurrences of $b$ as a subscript or superscript. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Z^* &= U^*V^*U(I-k_0k(0,0)^{-1}k_0^*)\\ &= X^*(I-k_0k(0,0)^{-1}k_0^*)+0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $X^*$ agrees with $Z^*$ on its initial space so that $X^*\supseteq Z^*$ and $Z\subseteq X$, $X$ is a contractive extension of $Z$. To see that it is q.e., use that $X$ is the unique contractive Gleason solution so that

$$\begin{aligned} X^* &= X^*(I-k_0k(0,0)^{-1}k_0^*)+X^*k_0k(0,0)^{-1}k_0^*\\ &= Z^*-Bb(0)^*k(0,0)^{-1}k_0^*. \end{aligned}$$

Since $X^*=Z^*-C^*$, this proves that

$$\begin{aligned} C^* &= Bb(0)^*k(0,0)^{-1}k_0^*\\ &= U^*V^*Uk_0(I-b(0)^*)^{-1}(I-b(0))b(0)^*k(0,0)^{-1}k_0^*. \end{aligned}$$

In particular it follows that

$$\mathrm{Ran}\,(C^*)\subset U^*V^*U\bigvee k_0\mathcal{H}=U^*V^*U\mathrm{Ran}\,(Z)^\perp,$$

proving that $X$ is quasi-extreme. $X$ will be a strict q.e. contraction if and only if the defect contraction $\delta_X$ is a strict contraction. This is proven in the example below. □

**Example 7.4.** Suppose that $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ is q.e. and that $b(0) \neq 0$. Since $Z^b$ is unitarily equivalent to $\mathcal{Z}^b$, Example 5.8 implies that the characteristic function of $Z^b$ coincides with the Frostman shift $b_0$ of $b$, as defined in formula (6.1).

It will be useful to recalculate the characteristic function $\widetilde{b}_0$ of $Z^b$ and the defect contraction $\delta = \delta_{X^b}$ using a particular choice of quasi-model maps $(j_z, \mathbf{j})$. First observe that since $X^b \supseteq Z^b$ that as in the case where $b(0) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}\left(Z^b - z\right)^\perp &= (I - X^b z^*)^{-1} \mathrm{Ran}\left(Z^b\right)^\perp \\ &= \bigvee (I - X^b z^*)^{-1} k_0^b \mathcal{H} = \bigvee k_z^b \mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\mathbf{S}_\infty = \bigvee_{z \in \mathbb{B}^d} z^* \mathcal{R}\left(X^b - z\right)^\perp = \bigvee_{z \in \mathbb{B}^d} z^* k_z^b = U_b^* \mathrm{Ker}(V^b)^\perp.$$

Recall that the unique contractive and extremal Gleason solution for $b$ is given by the formula

$$B = U_b^* V_b^* U_b k_0^b (I - b(0)^*)^{-1}(I - b(0)),$$

and observe that since $V := U_b^* V^b U_b \supseteq Z^b$ is a co-isometric extension of $Z^b$ with the property (5.1), that $B$ is a linear isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\mathbf{S}_\infty \ominus \mathrm{Ker}(X)^\perp$. This shows that we can define isometries $j_0 : \mathcal{H} \to \mathrm{Ran}\left(Z^b\right)^\perp$ and $\mathbf{j} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbf{S}_\infty \ominus \mathrm{Ker}(X)^\perp$ by

$$j_0 := k_0^b (k^b(0,0))^{-1/2} \qquad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{j} := B(B^*B)^{-1/2}.$$

Let us compute the square characteristic function $\beta_0$ of $Z^b$ using this choice of $j_0, \mathbf{j}$, and the choice of model

$$\Gamma(z) := \Gamma_{X^b}(z) = (I - X^b z^*)^{-1} k_0^b (k^b(0,0))^{-1/2} = k_z^b (k^b(0,0))^{-1/2}.$$

With this choice the characteristic function is

$$\widetilde{b}_0(z) = \sqrt{I - b(0)b(0)^*}(I - b(z)b(0)^*)^{-1}(b(z) - b(0))\sqrt{I - b(0)^*b(0)}^{-1},$$

and it is not difficult to check that this coincides with the formula (6.1) for the Frostman Shift, $b_0$, of $b$ calculated earlier.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta &:= \delta_{X^b} = -j_0^* X^b \mathbf{j} \\ &= -(X^b)^* k_0^b (k^b(0,0))^{-1/2})^* B(B^*B)^{-1/2} \\ &= (Bb(0)^* k^b(0,0)^{-1/2})^* B(B^*B)^{-1/2} \\ &= (I - b(0)b(0)^*)^{-1/2} b(0)(B^*B)^{1/2} \\ &= (I - b(0)b(0)^*)^{-1/2} b(0)\sqrt{I - b(0)^*b(0)} \\ &= b(0),\end{aligned}$$

and this shows that $X^b$ is a strict q.e. extension of $Z^b$ since $b(0)$ is a strict contraction.

**Remark 7.5.** Motivated by the above example, given any square Schur class $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$, it will be convenient to re-define the *Frostman shift* of $b$ vanishing at 0 to be

$$b_0 := \sqrt{I - b(0)b(0)^*}(I - bb(0)^*)^{-1}(b - b(0))\sqrt{I - b(0)^*b(0)}^{-1}. \tag{7.2}$$

This Schur class function coincides with the original definition given in equation (6.1).

Similar to equations (6.2) and (6.3) of Section 6, given any Schur class $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ which vanishes at 0, and any strict contraction $\alpha \in \text{Ł}(\mathcal{H})$, let

$$\beta_\alpha = \sqrt{I - \alpha\alpha^*}^{-1} b \sqrt{I - \alpha^*\alpha}, \qquad \text{and} \quad b_\alpha = (\beta_\alpha + \alpha)(I + \alpha^*\beta_\alpha)^{-1}.$$

Again, since this definition of $\beta_\alpha$ coincides with the original definition, $\beta_\alpha = R\beta'_\alpha Q$, with $R, Q$ unitary and $\beta'_\alpha$ the original definition, it is easy to check that our new definition of $b_\alpha$ obeys

$$b_\alpha = R b'_{R^*\alpha Q^*} Q,$$

where $b'_\alpha$ is our old definition from Remark 6.6.

**Definition 7.6.** Given a Schur class $b \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$, and any $\alpha \in (\text{Ł}(\mathcal{H}))_1$, the $\alpha-$*Frostman Shift*, $b_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{H})$ of $b$ is $b_\alpha := (b_0)_\alpha$, where $b_0$, $(b_0)_\alpha$ are the Frostman shifts defined above.

The results of the previous section imply as before that $b$ is q.e. if and only if all of its Frostman shifts are.

**Definition 7.7.** Let $T \supseteq X$ be a strict q.e. extension of a square q.e. row partial isometry $X$ with square q.e. characteristic function $b_X \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{J})$. The *characteristic function*, $b_T \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{J})$, of $T$, is any Schur class function in the unique coincidence class of

$$(b_X)_{\delta_T},$$

where $b_X, \delta_T$ are defined using the same choice of isometries $j_0, \mathbf{j}$ (so that $b_X$ is square), and $(b_X)_{\delta_T}$ is the Frostman shift of $b_X$ by $\delta_T$ as defined above.

By our Frostman shift results since $b_X$ is q.e., so is $b_T$.

**Remark 7.8.** If $J_0, \mathbf{J}$ are another choice of isometries used to construct another square characteristic function $b'_X$ and defect contraction $\delta'_X$ then there are unitaries, $R, Q \in \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{J})$ so that $\delta'_X = R\delta_X Q$ and $b'_X = Rb_X Q$. It then follows that the new characteristic function for $T$ obeys

$$b'_T = (Rb_X Q)_{R\delta_T Q} = Rb_T Q.$$

Indeed, since $b_T(0) = \delta_T$, it follows that $(Rb_T Q)(0) = R\delta_T Q$. To show that $b'_T = Rb_T Q$, it then suffices to verify that $(Rb_T Q)_0 = Rb_X Q$, and this follows easily from the definition of Frostman shift in Remark 7.5.

These calculations show that, as in the case where $T = X$ is a row partial isometry (see Remark 3.4), the coincidence equivalence class of $b_T = b_{T;j}$ is invariant under the choice of quasi-model maps $j = (j_z, \mathbf{j}_\infty)$ used to define it. As before we will simply write $b_T$ for any member of this equivalence class. (This is the main motivation for our re-definition of Frostman shift in Remark 7.5; if we had defined $b_{T;j}$ using our original definition of Frostman shift, its coincidence class would not be invariant under arbitrary choices of quasi-model maps $j = (j_z, \mathbf{j}_\infty)$.)

We are now prepared to state the main result of this section:

**Theorem 7.9.** *Let $T$ be a strict q.e. contraction with q.e. characteristic function $b_T \in \mathcal{S}_d(\mathcal{J})$. Then $T$ is unitarily equivalent to the unique contractive and extremal Gleason solution $X^{b_T}$ for $\mathscr{H}(b_T)$.*

*The characteristic function $b_T$ for $T$ is a complete unitary invariant: Given any two q.e. contractions $T_1, T_2$, $T_1 \simeq T_2$ if and only if their characteristic functions coincide.*

*Proof.* Let $T = X - C$ be a strict q.e. extension of a square q.e. row partial isometry $X$ with square q.e. characteristic function $b_X$. By definition $b_T$ is a Frostman shift of $b_X$, and the characteristic function of the square q.e. row partial isometry $Z := Z^{b_T}$ on $\mathscr{H}(b^T)$ discussed in Example 7.4 is $(b_T)_0 = b_X$. Since the characteristic function of a q.e. row partial isometry is a complete unitary invariant, it follows that there is a unitary $U : \mathcal{H} \to \mathscr{H}(b_T)$ so that $UXU^* = Z^{b_T}$.

As in the above Example 7.4, if we choose $j_0 := k_0^{b_T}(k^{b_T}(0,0))^{-1/2}$ and $\mathbf{j} = B_T(B_T^* B_T)^{-1/2}$, where $B_T$ is the unique contractive extremal Gleason solution for $b_T$ and $j_z := k_z^{b_T}(k^{b_T}(0,0))^{-1/2}$, then the characteristic function of $Z^{b_T}$ is $(b_T)_0 = b_X$. It also follows that if we define $J_0 = U^* j_0, J_z = U^* j_z$ and $\mathbf{J} = U^*\mathbf{j}$, then with these choices of maps $X, Z^{b_T}$ have the same characteristic function. Setting $T' = UTU^* \supseteq Z^{b_T}$ and using this choice of $J_0, \mathbf{J}$ we obtain that $\delta_T = \delta_{T'}$ ,where $\delta_{T'}$ is defined using $j_0, \mathbf{j}$. Again by the above Example 7.4, $\delta_{X^{b_T}} = b_T(0) = \delta_T = \delta_{T'}$, and by Lemma 7.2, $T' = X^{b_T}$.

Suppose that $T_1, T_2$ are two such contractions. If $T_1 = UT_2U^*$ for an onto isometry $U$ then by Remark 5.10, $X_1 = UX_2U^*$ so that we can construct a $b_1 := b_{T_1}$ which is equal to $b_2 := b_{T_2}$. Conversely if $b_1 = Rb_2Q$ for unitaries $R, Q$, then by the first part of the proof, $T_1 \simeq X^{b_1} \simeq X^{b_2} \simeq T_2$. □

## 8. Outlook: More general contractive extensions

Although we have assumed in the previous two sections that $b$ is q.e. and square, all of our results extend naturally to the general rectangular (*i.e.* not necessarily square) setting [19]. Since our Frostman shift results hold for arbitrary (not necessarily q.e.) square Schur class $b$ for which $b(z)$ is a strict contraction, it seems likely that our results will extend to an analogous class of contractive extensions of c.n.c. row partial isometries with non quasi-extreme characteristic functions. Finally, we expect that one can develop an analogous theory for c.n.c. row contractions using the free or non-commutative theory of deBranges-Rovnyak formal reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces contractively contained in the full Fock space over several complex dimensions [36, 37, 38]. Such a theory would overlap closely with that of [10, 7].

## References


[1] L. deBranges and J. Rovnyak. *Square summable power series*. Courier Corporation, 2015.

[2] L. de Branges and J. Rovnyak. Appendix on square summable power series: Canonical models in quantum scattering theory. In *Perturbation theory and its applications in quantum mechanics*, pages 295–392. Wiley, 1966.

[3] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş. *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*. American Elsevier publishing company, Inc., New York, N.Y., 1970.

[4] J.A. Ball and T.L. Kriete. Operator-valued Nevanlinna-Pick kernels and the functional models for contraction operators. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 10:17–61, 1987.

[5] N.K. Nikolskii and V.I. Vasyunin. Notes on two function models in the Bieberbach conjecture. In *The Bieberbach conjecture: Proceedings of the symposium on the occasion of the proof, Math. Surveys*, volume 21, pages 113–141. Amer. Math. Soc., 1986.

[6] D. Sarason. *Sub-Hardy Hilbert spaces in the unit disk*. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, NY, 1994.

[7] J.A. Ball and V. Bolotnikov. Canonical transfer-function realization for Schur multipliers on the Drury-Arveson space and models for commuting row contractions. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 61:665–716, 2011.

[8] G. Popescu. Models for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators. *Acta Sci. Math.*, 53:355–368, 1989.

[9] G. Popescu. Characteristic functions for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators. *J. Oper. Theory*, 22:51–71, 1989.

[10] J.A. Ball and V. Vinnikov. *Lax-Phillips scattering and conservative linear systems: A Cuntz-algebra multidimensional setting*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.

[11] T. Bhattacharyya, J. Eschmeier, and J. Sarkar. Characteristic function of a pure commuting contractive tuple. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 53:23–32, 2005.

[12] T. Bhattacharyya, J. Eschmeier, and J. Sarkar. On c.n.c. commuting contractive tuples. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.*, 116:299–316, 2006.

[13] M.T. Jury. Clark theory in the Drury–Arveson space. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 266:3855–3893, 2014.

[14] M.T. Jury and R.T.W. Martin. Aleksandrov-Clark theory for Drury-Arveson space. arXiv:1608.04325; Submitted to Integral Equations Operator Theory, 2016.

[15] M.T. Jury and R.T.W. Martin. Extremal multipliers of the Drury-Arveson space. arXiv:1608.04327, 2016.

[16] S.R. Garcia, R.T.W. Martin, and W.T. Ross. Partial orders on partial isometries. *J. Operator Theory*, 75:409–442, 2016.

[17] A. Aleman, R.T.W. Martin, and W.T. Ross. On a theorem of Livsic. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 264:999–1048, 2013.

[18] R.T.W. Martin. Extensions of symmetric operators I: The inner characteristic function case. *Concr. Oper.*, 2:53–97, 2015.

[19] A. Ramanantoanina. Gleason solutions and models for row contractions. Master's thesis, University of Cape Town, 2017.

[20] V. Paulsen and M. Raghupathi. *An Introduction to the theory of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 2016.

[21] Orr Shalit. Operator theory and function theory in Drury–Arveson space and its quotients. In *Handbook of Operator Theory*, pages 1125–1180. Springer, 2015.

[22] W.B. Arveson. Subalgebras of C*-algebras III. *Acta Math.*, 181:159–228, 1998.

[23] W.B. Arveson. The curvature invariant of a Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C}[z_1, ..., z_d]$. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 96:11096–11099.

[24] K. Hoffman. *Banach spaces of analytic functions*. Courier Corporation, 2007.

[25] J.A. Ball, V. Bolotnikov, and Q. Fang. Schur-class multipliers on the Arveson space: de Branges–Rovnyak reproducing kernel spaces and commutative transfer-function realizations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 341:519–539, 2008.

[26] A.M. Gleason. Finitely generated ideals in Banach algebras. *J. Math. Mech.*, 13:125, 1964.

[27] D. Alpay and H.T. Kaptanoğlu. Gleason's problem and homogeneous interpolation in Hardy and Dirichlet-type spaces of the ball. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 276:654–672, 2002.

[28] J.A. Ball and V. Bolotnikov. Canonical de Branges-Rovnyak model transfer-function realization for multivariable Schur-class functions. In *CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes*, volume 51, pages 1–40, 2010.

[29] D.C.V. Greene, S. Richter, and C. Sundberg. The structure of inner multipliers on spaces with complete Nevanlinna-Pick kernels. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 194:311–331, 2002.

[30] S. McCullough and T.T. Trent. Invariant subspaces and Nevanlinna–Pick kernels. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 178:226–249, 2000.

[31] M.I. Gorbachuk and V.I. Gorbachuk, editors. *M.G. Kreins lectures on entire operators*, volume 97 of *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* Birkhäuser, 2012.

[32] N.I. Akhiezer and I.M. Glazman. *Theory of linear operators in Hilbert space*. Dover Publications, New York, NY, 1993.

[33] M.S. Livšic. On the theory of isometric operators with equal deficiency indices. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (NS)*, 58:13–15, 1947.

[34] O. Frostman. *Potentiel d'équilibre et capacité des ensembles avec quelques applications à la théorie des fonctions*. PhD thesis, Lund University, 1935.

[35] R. Crofoot. Multipliers between invariant subspaces of the backward shift. *Pacific J. Math.*, 166:225–246, 1994.

[36] J.A. Ball and V. Vinnikov. Formal reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces: the commutative and noncommutative settings. In *Reproducing kernel spaces and applications, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, volume 143, pages 77–134.

[37] J.A. Ball, V. Bolotnikov, and Q. Fang. Schur-class multipliers on the Fock space: de Branges-Rovnyak reproducing kernel spaces and transfer-function realizations. In *Operator Theory, Structured Matrices, and Dilations, Theta Series Adv. Math., Tiberiu Constantinescu Memorial Volume*, volume 7, pages 101–130. 2007.

[38] M.T. Jury and R.T.W. Martin. Noncommutative Clark measures for the free and abelian Toeplitz algebras. In preparation, 2016.

University of Cape Town

*E-mail address*: rtwmartin@gmail.com